

8-2004

Promoting Diversity: Recruitment, Selection, Orientation, and Retention of International Students

Murat Tas

University of the Incarnate Word

Follow this and additional works at: http://athenaeum.uiw.edu/uiw_etds



Part of the [Education Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

Tas, Murat, "Promoting Diversity: Recruitment, Selection, Orientation, and Retention of International Students" (2004). *Theses & Dissertations*. 118.

http://athenaeum.uiw.edu/uiw_etds/118

This Dissertation is brought to you for free and open access by The Athenaeum. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses & Dissertations by an authorized administrator of The Athenaeum. For more information, please contact athenaeum@uiwtx.edu.

PROMOTING DIVERSITY: RECRUITMENT, SELECTION,
ORIENTATION, AND RETENTION OF INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

A Dissertation

by

Murat Tas

Presented to the School of Graduate Studies of the
University of the Incarnate Word
In Partial Fulfillment
Of the requirements
for the degree of

DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY

The University of the Incarnate Word

August 2004

UMI Number: 3134700

Copyright 2004 by
Tas, Murat

All rights reserved.

INFORMATION TO USERS

The quality of this reproduction is dependent upon the quality of the copy submitted. Broken or indistinct print, colored or poor quality illustrations and photographs, print bleed-through, substandard margins, and improper alignment can adversely affect reproduction.

In the unlikely event that the author did not send a complete manuscript and there are missing pages, these will be noted. Also, if unauthorized copyright material had to be removed, a note will indicate the deletion.

UMI[®]

UMI Microform 3134700

Copyright 2004 by ProQuest Information and Learning Company.

All rights reserved. This microform edition is protected against
unauthorized copying under Title 17, United States Code.

ProQuest Information and Learning Company
300 North Zeeb Road
P.O. Box 1346
Ann Arbor, MI 48106-1346

Copyright © 2004

by

Murat Tas

PROMOTING DIVERSITY: RECRUITMENT, SELECTION, ORIENTATION,
AND RETENTION OF INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

A Dissertation


by

Murat Tas


APPROVED BY DISSERTATION COMMITTEE:

 Ed.D.


Patricia A. Watkins, Ed.D. (Chair of Committee)



Louis J. Agnese, Jr., Ph.D. (Member)



D. Reginald Traylor, Ph.D. (Member)



Richard A. Gray, Ph.D. (Member)

August 2004

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

There are many individuals who have helped me on my long journey toward completing my doctoral degree. This would not have been possible without the following people:

My advisor, Dr. Patricia Watkins, who never tired of helping me all throughout my studies, and has become a vital part of my life.

My committee members, Dr. Louis J. Agnese, Jr., Dr. D. Reginald Traylor, and Dr. Richard A. Gray who helped and supported me continuously in every step of my studies.

The staff and administration of the University of the Incarnate Word for allowing me to use their facilities and resources. I especially thank the International Conference Center's student recruiting team for their invaluable help enabling me to reach former and current international students.

To the staff and faculty of the Administrative Computer Technologies department of San Antonio College for their continuous understanding of my studies. I especially thank my colleagues in San Antonio College, Mrs. Carmen Salinas, Mrs. Lucile Graham, Mrs. Connie Ann Pugh, and Ms. Maurine Reed, for their continuous support and the flexibility of time they gave me for my studies whenever I needed it.

To my families, both Turkish and American, who have always been supportive of my educational endeavors and taught me perseverance. I thank my biological parents, Ismet and Saliha Tas, for having much patience in my

quest for higher education. I also thank my adoptive parents, Michael and Sandra Schulte, for their continuous support and advice in all areas of my life.

To my friend Mrs. Yelda Kagnici who graciously helped me on my dissertation and provided me her invaluable moral and statistical support.

Finally, I would like to thank God who helped me to believe in myself and gave me an opportunity I would have perhaps not dreamed of before I came to the United States.

ABSTRACT

PROMOTING DIVERSITY: RECRUITMENT, SELECTION,
ORIENTATION, AND RETENTION OF INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS

by

Murat Tas

Dissertation Chair: Dr. Patricia Watkins

University of the Incarnate Word, 2004

The number of international students attending U.S. higher learning institutions has decreased over the past decade from 40 percent to 30 percent. These students are an important resource for the U.S. and their native countries in terms of education, culture, and economy.

Differences between international and domestic students currently enrolled in a private university were assessed using a new American College of Testing Survey of Student Opinion combined with a sociocultural adaptation scale. Those analyzed results were incorporated into a customized 18 question survey and interview process to assess international and domestic students who had not graduated. These analyzed assessments identified and increased the understanding of needs—academic and non-academic—so that recommendations could be made to the university on how to better satisfy those needs and thereby recruit and retain more international students.

A total of 136 surveys and interviews were analyzed. Significant differences between international and domestic students in sociocultural adaptation and financial security and other notable differences in international graduate and undergraduate students exist. Recommendations focused on improving programs and services—orientation, advising, counseling,

sociocultural, student governance, and support—to better integrate and promote diversity in meeting academic and nonacademic needs.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER	PAGE
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
Context of the Study	1
Statement of the Problem.....	3
Purpose of Study.....	5
Research Questions.....	5
Significance of Study.....	6
Limitations of the Study.....	6
Assumptions of the researcher	7
Definition of the Terms.....	8
CHAPTER 2: REVIEW OF LITERATURE.....	9
Theoretical Perspective.....	9
Higher Education	14
Challenges to Adjustment to University Life in the U.S.	21
Studies about International Students.....	26
Student Retention.....	33
Summary	37
CHAPTER 3: METHODOLOGY	39
Research Design.....	39
The Role of the Researcher.....	41

Protection of Human Subjects	42
Procedure	42
Sample.....	43
First Stage Sample	43
Domestic Student Characteristics	44
International Students Characteristics	45
Second Stage Sample.....	46
Third Stage Sample.....	47
Data Collection Instruments	47
Data Analysis Process.....	50
CHAPTER 4: DATA ANALYSIS	52
Reliability Studies of Survey of Student Opinions.....	52
Reliability Studies of Social Adaptation Scale.....	53
Factor Analysis	53
Item Loadings of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale	53
Factor Loadings of the Scale.....	55
Analysis Results – First Stage.....	55
Student’s Opinions about College Services & Environment.....	56
Differences in Students’ Opinions.....	57
College Impression	57
College Experiences.....	58
College Services and Environment.....	59
Social Adaptation.....	62

Satisfaction with College Environment	64
College Impression (International vs. Domestic Students).....	65
College Experiences.....	65
Importance of College Services	66
Satisfaction with College Services.....	66
Importance of College Environment.....	67
Social Adaptation.....	67
Analysis Results – Second Stage	68
Analysis Results – Third Stage	76
Transcript Analysis	76
Thematic Analysis for Leaving – Domestic and International	77
Domestic Student themes.....	77
International Student themes.....	79
Verification and Informing the Study	83
Summary	83
 CHAPTER 5: DISCUSSIONS, IMPLICATIONS, & RECOMMENDATIONS	 85
Discussion	85
Implications For Retention	89
Specific Recommendations.....	91
Recommendations for Further Research.....	99
 REFERENCES	 101

APPENDIX A

Survey of Student Opinions	112
----------------------------------	-----

APPENDIX B

Informed Consent for the first stage	117
--	-----

APPENDIX C

Informed Consent for the Second Stage	118
---	-----

APPENDIX D

Second Stage Survey.....	119
--------------------------	-----

APPENDIX E

Interview Consent form	121
------------------------------	-----

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1: Top Places of Origin of Foreign Students in the U.S., 2002-3	19
Table 2: Sampling of Hofstede's Dimensions.....	23
Table 3: Respondents to Second Survey.....	47
Table 4: Internal Consistency Coefficients of Sections of Survey of Student Opinions.....	52
Table 5: Item-Correlations of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale Items.....	53
Table 6: Item Loadings of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale and their Communalities.....	54
Table 7: Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating college impressions in terms of gender, educational level and residency status.....	58
Table 8: Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating college experiences in terms of gender, educational level and residency status	59
Table 9: Means and standard deviations for the scores of importance of and satisfaction with college services and college environment in terms gender, educational level and residency status	61
Table 10: Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating social adaptation in terms of gender, educational level and residency status	62
Table 11: Means and Standard deviations of satisfaction scores with college environment of international and domestic students	64
Table 12: Means and Standard deviations of college impressions of international and domestic students.....	65
Table 13: Means and Standard deviations of college experiences of international and domestic students.....	66
Table 14: Means and Standard deviations of social adaptation scores of international and domestic students.....	66

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Main Receiving Countries of Foreign Students, 1998	18
Figure 2: Characteristics of the Sample – Domestic and International	44
Figure 3: Gender of Domestic and International Students.....	68
Figure 4: Financial Reasons Departing.....	69
Figure 5: Cultural and Social Activities.....	70
Figure 6: Flexibility and Availability of Courses	70
Figure 7: Degree of Voice.....	71
Figure 8: Food Services	72
Figure 9: Orientation Satisfaction.....	72
Figure 10: Dormitory Satisfaction	73
Figure 11: Satisfaction of Services Offered.....	74
Figure 12: Authority	74

Chapter 1: Introduction

Context of the Study

The Institute of International Education (IIE) reports a record number of 586,323 international students attending U.S. institutions of higher learning during the 2002-2003 academic year and contributing nearly *\$12 billion* to the overall U.S. economy--tuition, living expenses, and related costs (Open Doors 2003). The National Association for Foreign Student Affairs (NAFSA) estimates more than a \$12.85 billion contribution to the U.S. economy based on the College Board tuition and living expense figures, enrollment figures from the IIE's 2003 report, and a data analysis conducted at Indiana University's Bloomington's Office of International Services (Economic Benefits of International Education to the United States of America—A Statistical Analysis, 2003).

Data from the U.S. Department of Commerce characterize *higher education* as the country's *fifth largest export* in the service sector. The U.S. is known for welcoming men and women worldwide and remains a premier destination for international students. Even though a record number was reported by IIE, the less than one percent increase was the smallest increase since 1995-1996 following decreases in international student growth rates 6.4 percent (2001-2002) to 0.6 percent (2002-2003). Enrollment from the Middle East declined 10 percent in 2001-2002, with 25 percent decreases (Saudi Arabia and Kuwait) and 15 percent decreases (United Arab Emirates). The strongest increase came from India, the current leading country of origin (12

percent), despite perceptions that the U.S. is closed to international students. Though increased U.S. visa processing procedures, along with other security measures, could easily be cited as the primary reason for this small increase, other significant factors include weakened economies in a number of countries, safety concerns, visa processing delays, and an increase in competition for international students from other host countries. International students and scholars and U.S. citizens studying abroad enrich their own study fields, but also provide a dynamic force in growing the global economy and expanding world knowledge. International students constitute a significant economic, educational, and cultural resource for the United States as a whole as well as for higher learning institutions, communities, and states in which they are studying and acculturating. They also constitute an important resource for their own nations and the world by engendering and promoting long-term goodwill between nations. During the past decade, the U.S. share of the total number of international students declined from approximately 40% to 30%. Rising costs of studying at U.S. institutions, increased security and visa / immigration changes, associated travel, possible taxes, housing, and other policies and regulations, constitute barriers for some students who might otherwise come to the U.S (Open Doors 2003).

Students from all over the world see the opportunity to study in the U.S. as being beneficial because the culture is looked on as a trendsetter and is, in many ways, unique from other cultures. Attitudes and cultures vary according to regions and are normally fast paced. In leaving their home

country, most international students are suddenly and immediately confronted with a completely new environment beginning with the travel to the U.S, and continuing well past their arrival at their final destination. Airports, cities, streets and houses look different, food is different, the weather is different, and even things like showers and toilets do not work in the same way as those in their home country. In addition, the students are surrounded with many people they don't know and who speak a different language.

International students often experience a period of adjustment known as culture shock. Some students may have the opportunity to travel with other students from their home country, or may have traveled before, but many travel alone for the first time. Disparities between what students expect as compared to what they can achieve continue to influence their adjustment. Some students discontinue their studies when experiencing difficulties and problems. Finding a way to acculturate these students into communities and campuses will not only enrich their lives, but will increase the possibility of retaining them as students and allowing them to complete their educational goals.

Statement of the Problem

Acclimating to a new culture takes time. A positive transition can result in better language development, acculturation, and the attainment of educational and individual objectives. Experiences of international students provide a myriad of interaction opportunities for growth and learning. The most *important aspect* of these experiences is often thought *to be the students'*

in-class experiences with instructors and other students. Indeed, this aspect of international students' experiences is critical. Equally important, however, are those students' *out-of-class learning experiences* including involvement in residence halls, student organizations, volunteer projects, intramural activities, and travel. Obong (1997) stresses the importance of meeting both the academic and nonacademic needs in the context of student performance and multi-cultural environments.

Because of the interest and importance given to international students' attendance, a closer look was taken of one private university in South Texas. Of the 382 international students studying there during a three year period between Fall 1999 to Spring 2002 (exclusive of exchange students):

- 18% graduated
- 38% continued their studies
- 44% were not retained by the university

According to the Consortium for Student Retention Data Exchange (2002), which has a membership of 407 colleges and universities, the first-year retention rates are 85.7% on highly selective institutions and average 78.3% among all institutions within the CSRDE.

Therefore, one might reasonably ask why retaining and increasing the number of international students will facilitate diversity, increase cultural understanding, and benefit the campus and learning community as a whole.

Purpose of Study

The purpose of the study was to collect data regarding (a) whether there are differences among domestic and international students in terms of academic (educational) and non-academic (support) needs, (b) how international students acclimate and succeed in satisfying those needs, and (c) why international students are not being retained. International students beginning, continuing, or discontinuing their studies in the Fall 1999 through the Spring of 2002 semester periods were researched through questionnaires and conversation, and their responses were analyzed. The analyzed data were used to answer the research questions as well as to formulate recommendations for improving international student retention rates.

Research Questions

Data were collected in order to answer the three broad issues discussed above. When broken down to more manageable size, the issues resulted in the following research questions being studied and analyzed in a three-stage methodology as defined in Chapter Three:

1. Is there a difference between how currently enrolled domestic and international students view the importance of college services, environment, impressions and experiences? (First Stage).
2. How do currently enrolled domestic and international students rate their satisfaction level regarding the above terms? (First Stage)

3. Is there a difference between currently enrolled domestic and international students in terms of socio-cultural adaptation? (First Stage).
4. What are the effects, if any, of age, gender, educational level, and residency status on current students' responses to the issues and questions #1 and #2? (First Stage).
5. Why were 44% of the first year international students not retained? (Second and Third Stages).
6. What aspects of the campus climate are beneficial or detrimental to international and domestic students in achieving their educational goals? (Second and Third Stages).

Significance of Study

Accurately assessing what caused 44% of first year international students to leave a well respected, academically sound institution prematurely could facilitate an increase of international student retention rates, improve the students' quality of life, and further enhance the reputation of the university. Through effective use of this assessment, corrective interventions can be identified, described, and developed to make the institution more successful in its outreach to international students.

Limitations of the Study

The researcher faced the following limitations:

- The population was limited to students of only one university.

- Members of other cultures are often more or less willing to share their feelings.
- Frequent reliance on technological communication such as e-mail.

Assumptions of the researcher

- Research subjects responded honestly to the questions.
- International students are more likely to provide accurate information to another international student.
- The researcher (an international student) correctly and impartially interpreted data derived from the survey instruments and the telephone conversations.

Definition of Terms

For the purpose of this study, terms are defined as follows:

Culture: Culture is that complex whole which includes knowledge, belief, arts, morals, law, custom, and any other capabilities and habits acquired by man as a member of society (Kottak, 2002).

Acculturation: Acculturation is the degree of adoption or adaptation of another's culture as a result of continued contact with it. The process may involve almost complete acceptance of the culture of one group by another, or it may involve different degrees of merging of the cultural traits and patterns of both groups. Acculturation for many international students involves the process of orientation to a new cultural environment and adjustment to

different educational patterns presenting great difficulties to students (Obong, 1997).

Culture Shock: A form of anxiety that results from an inability to predict the behavior of others, or to act appropriately in a cross-cultural situation. A common reaction of one who is learning a new culture and language. Responses include irritability, confusion, hostility, estrangement, and panic (Furnham, 1986).

International student: An International Student is a student attending an educational institution in the United States who is not a U.S. citizen or U.S. permanent resident (temporary visa holders, refugees, those seeking asylum, commuters from Canada, etc.), regardless of how long they have resided or been educated in the U.S.

Retention: Retention is the process of continuation of a student's involvement in an academic endeavor until graduation.

Domestic student: Student who is a U.S. citizens or U.S. permanent resident living in the United States.

Socio-cultural adaptation: Socio-cultural adaptation refers to how well an acculturating individual is able to manage daily life in a new cultural context (Ward & Kennedy, 1999).

Chapter 2: Review Of Literature

Beginning with the Theoretical Perspective, this section reviews the professional literature related to Higher Education, Adjustment Challenges and Student Retention.

Theoretical Perspective

Adjustment to college is a challenging process for many first time university students. Almost all of the students face some developmental challenges. The needs of international students are addressed by several developmental theories and support the research questions found in the following chapter. The first of these theories by Arthur Chickering, a leading theorist in the psychological development of university students, identifies seven vectors of development in his *Education and Identity Theory* through which young adults, like college students, typically experience in their college years. Identity development occupies a central place in this theory, and his seven vectors can be viewed as giving greater specificity to this central construct (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991). Ellison and Simon (1973) refer to Chickering's theory as "the model's model," one that remains sensitive to international students' development stages (p. 22).

Achieving Competence, the first vector, is the growth in a student's sense of competence, the confidence one has in one's ability to cope with what comes and to achieve successfully what (one) sets out to do. The second vector, *Managing Emotions*, is developing an increased capacity for passion and commitment, accompanied by increasing capacity to implement passion

and commitment through intelligent behavior. This capacity may be particularly important given the cultural changes that have occurred since the initial formulation of his model and that have complicated the way our culture deals with lust and hate (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991)

Developing Autonomy, the third vector, is the independence of maturity which requires both emotional and instrumental independence, and recognition of one's interdependence. The next vector, the fourth, is *Establishing Identity* and depends in part on growth along the competence, emotions, and autonomy vectors. The concept of identity remains in general a solid sense of self and one that may undergo change over a lifetime. The development of this vector fosters and facilitates changes along the remaining three vectors (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991)

The fifth vector is the *Freeing of Interpersonal Relationships*. As personal identity is shaped, and the increased ability to interact with others emerges; this interaction reveals increased tolerance and respect for those of different backgrounds, habits, values, and appearance, and a shift in the quality of relationships with intimates and close friends. (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991)

Developing Purpose, the sixth vector, is where the individual develops answers not only to the question "Who am I?" but also to "Who am I going to be?" and not just "Where am I?" but "Where am I going?" The final vector, *Developing Integrity*, involves the clarification of a personally valid set of

beliefs that have internal consistency and provide at least a tentative guide for behavior (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991).

The most important thing for universities to learn from Chickering is that international students do not stand on a still point in this turning world. Everything in their lives has suddenly changed, and they are in the midst of often dizzying personal change. If these social and psychological realities aren't respected, universities might make the mistake of attributing their academic problems simply to *indolence, irresponsibility, or absence of self-discipline*. And while these words do describe some international students' behavior, they may also be symptomatic or superficial descriptions, not causes, of more important changes international students are experiencing. Often, appreciating the social and psychological realities of international students will allow the university insights into their behavior. With these insights, universities may become even more effective in mentoring students and facilitating their adjustment with changes – unexpected or not (Pascarella & Terenzini, 1991).

Besides challenges of developmental tasks, international students deal with other stresses such as adjusting to a new culture. The adjustment process itself is a challenge for many international students. One of the issues that international students face during this process is *Culture Shock*. Culture Shock, a theory created by Oberg, an anthropologist, refers to the idea that entering a new culture is potentially a confusing and disorientating experience, and this concept has been widely used (and misused) to explain

the difficulties of the cross-cultural sojourn. Oberg invented the expression in order to define the kind of uncertain and troubled feeling which generally occurs when people are faced with organizing their daily life in a new cultural environment. This anxiety can be traced back to several factors. One important aspect is that self-evident behavioral traits are not valid any longer. In addition, values the person has always held in his familiar environment are not shared or may even be rejected by members of the new culture. Further, the encounterer of the new culture suddenly comes in touch with unfamiliar behavior that may seem to be odd and abnormal to him. Eventually culture shock means the general state in which the individual has to cope with the adjustment to a new environment (Funham & Bochner, 1986).

Oberg divided Culture Shock into four stages:

- Honeymoon: Initial reaction of enchantment, fascination, enthusiasm, admiration, cordiality, friendliness, and superficial relationships with hosts.
- Crisis: Initial differences in language, concepts, values, familiar signs and symbols leading to feelings of inadequacy, frustration, anxiety and anger.
- Recovery: Where the crisis is resolved by a number of methods such that the person ends up learning the language and culture of the host country.

- Adjustment: Period where the sojourner begins to work in and enjoy the new culture, even though occasional instances of anxiety and strain still exist.

During the 1970s and 1980s researchers developed a new idea of how to cope with culture shock. The resulting Culture Learning model (Furnham & Bochner, 1986) stressed that an individual only needs to learn and adapt to key features of the new society. Instead of adapting to a new culture, the individual learns how to operate in the new culture; he does not have to embrace all, or even most, aspects of the society. This is a significant change in thought. Under previous ideas, if one adjusted to the new culture, that culture would become a part of the individual; almost as if the person developed two cultures. Furnham and Bochner think that by learning and adapting to only key features, one can then discard those features upon return to one's native land (Milton, 1997).

In Culture Learning, one learns the salient features of a culture in order to effectively function in it. A person will still experience frustration, bewilderment, and other emotions, but by learning only key parts of the culture, one can work around the difficulties caused by culture shock. Information giving and cultural sensitization are to aid this endeavor. If people are made aware of the cultural differences as well as their values, and understand their own biases, then they are more able to adapt to a new culture (Milton, 1997).

Socio-cultural adaptation, earlier defined, refers to how well an acculturating individual is able to manage daily life in a new cultural context. Socio-cultural adaptation grew from a culture learning perspective. Changes in socio-cultural adaptation are predictable; adaptation improves rapidly in the earliest stages of transition, reaches a plateau and then appears to stabilize. With the focus on the learning experience, the application is preparation, orientation, and acquisition of culturally relevant social skills (Furnham & Bochner, 1986). Socio-cultural adaptation is more strongly affected by variables as quantity and quality of relationships with host nationals, cultural distance, and length of residence in the host country.

Ward (1995) proposed that cultural contact is a major life event which leads to stress, demands cognitive appraisal of the situation, and cognitive, affective and behavioral responses for stress management, and requires learning culture-specific skills, as well. Ward refers to the outcome as involving behavioral competence. This reflects the extent to which a newcomer fits into the new culture, and includes adaptation to social and cultural aspects of the host culture. Furthermore Searle and Ward (1990), and Ward and Kennedy (1992, 1993b) found that socio-cultural adaptation preceded and predicted the psychological adaptation.

Higher Education

Within institutions of higher learning, organizational cultures and subcultures form based on beliefs, values, and artifacts and are influenced by size and location. Institutions of higher learning also reflect the multiple

cultures of students, administration, and staff in meeting academic, career, and support needs. In meeting these needs, change will be necessary. Earlier organizational research “illustrated the impact of culture on many aspects of organizational life (Peterson & Spencer, 1991)” and how, as Berquist notes (as cited in Kezar and Eckel, 2002, p. 436) “university cultures will be related to the change process; specifically, change processes can be thwarted by violating cultural norms or enhanced by culturally sensitive strategies”. Academe itself has strong cultural aspects that guide and shape the way the business of education is done. Faculty subculture and attitudes about diversity are important aspects when change is desired. (Cummins & Worley, 2001; Hofstede, 1997; Hofstede, 2001; Schein, 1992; Trower & Chait, 2002; Yukl, 2002).

In the United States, enrollment rates are increasing with more people attending college and more degrees being awarded. For example between 1989-1990 and 1999-2000, doctor’s degrees increased by 17 percent with associate’s at 24 percent and master’s hitting 41 percent. A surprising 49 percent increase of minority students occurred at these institutions and among these minorities, Hispanics grew 64% from 359,000 to 588,000. Doctoral degrees also grew by 74 percent as compared to a 10 percent increase in all institutions (U.S Department of Education, 2002a; U.S. Department of Education, 2002b).

Adding to this diversity, 586,323 international students attended U.S. institutions of higher learning during the 2002-2003 academic years. This

provided a cultural, educational, and economic resource for the U.S. and a cultural and educational resource for the countries of origin, as well as a world resource by engendering and promoting long-term goodwill between nations (Open Doors 2003).

Diversity is being addressed in the accreditation process of the six major accrediting agencies across the United States. Each agency has diversity elements in their standards along with National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education and other accrediting organizations (Moss, 2000).

Johnson (2003) stresses the importance of diversity following September 11 when he states:

The residual affection and respect for the American people, as opposed to official America, are priceless assets for us. Where does that admiration come from? How is it sustained, even through times when people in other countries genuinely do hate our policies? It comes fundamentally from the sheer power of the American idea, which continues to inspire the world. And it is nurtured through exchanges, through programs that bring people into contact with America and Americans, including those that have brought millions of foreign students and scholars to this country. (p. B7)


Increases in diversity have led to changes in higher education. The English language has become a standard in science and scholarship. Combined with the Internet, other technologies, and increased student mobility, higher education has become more global and is converging, rather

than diverging. The experience of others has been perceived as beneficial in understanding, addressing, and resolving issues. Other issues such as the increasing demand for education, expanding graduate education, privatization, an academic profession in crisis, and accountability have been considered when comparing and analyzing include how the activities of education and work should feed one another (Altbach, 1999).

Internationalization, increasing worldwide integration (David, 1999), and shifting demographics (U.S. Census Bureau, 2000; Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development, 2001), have changed how organizations in general, and higher education specifically, respond in multi-cultural and more diverse environments. Understanding diversity and culture and their benefits, and how to implement effective programs promoting them, are integral in making and sustaining changes.

Understanding the degree of diversity within the international student population and how international student migration impacts higher education are necessary in identifying programs to meet their needs. In one of these studies, the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (2001) examined the comparative trends in international student migration and sources of students as portrayed in the following chart.

Chart II.3. Main receiving countries of foreign students, 1998
Percentages



Note: Only non-resident foreign students for the United States, Canada, Australia, the United Kingdom, Switzerland and Japan.
Source: OECD database on Education.

Figure 1. Main Receiving Countries of Foreign Students (OECD)

In the 2002-3 academic year, as compared to the previous year, overall growth of international students was less than 1 percent after an average growth rate of 5 percent. The significant increase (China, India, and South Korea) “masks a serious decline in the number of students from many Muslim countries. Kuwait sent 25 percent fewer students to the United States in the fall of 2002 than it had the previous year. The United Arab Emirates sent 16 percent fewer students.” Increases/decreases from 20 countries matriculating in the United States are shown in Figure 2 below. (Jacobson, 2003).

Table 1

Top Places of Origin of Foreign Students In The U.S., 2002-3

Major Places Of Origin Of International Students In The U.S. 2002 - 2003	
1-Year Decrease	1-Year Increase
<i>Brazil -7%</i>	<i>China +2%</i>
<i>Britain -1%</i>	<i>Hong Kong +4%</i>
<i>Colombia -4%</i>	<i>India +12%</i>
<i>France -2%</i>	<i>Kenya +11%</i>
<i>Germany -3%</i>	<i>Mexico +2%</i>
<i>Indonesia -10%</i>	<i>South Korea +5%</i>
<i>Japan -2%</i>	
<i>Malaysia -11%</i>	
<i>Pakistan -6%</i>	
<i>Russia -6%</i>	
<i>Taiwan -3%</i>	
<i>Thailand -14%</i>	
<i>Turkey -4%</i>	

Universities have become involved in international students' learning experiences inside and outside the classroom. This involvement has included many aspects of educational and organizational life such as foreign students' affairs, colleges' roles and rewards, culture, curriculum, university climate, faculty interaction, student recruitment, selection, orientation, mentorship, and retention. In addition, because students' learning experiences and faculty involvement occur within the context of the institution, organizational behavior and development have been accepted as providing an insight on the structures, support, and services that may either explain, enhance, or mitigate against these experiences and involvement. Creating a satisfying environment has become an essential goal in higher education.

Higher education's responsibility to educate students in a global perspective is greater than ever. The ability to live and work in a pluralistic society and in a polarized and frightening world is not an optional skill. As colleges expand their international reach, they can engage in entrepreneurial activities and foster students' global competence. But in the rush to globalize, college leaders must be clear about their purpose and keep their eyes on the educational needs of all their students (Green & Baer, 2001). International and intercultural education themes should be pervasive throughout the curriculum, in remedial and developmental courses, general education and area studies, and liberal arts and professional studies (Scott, 1994). By internationalizing the curriculum, universities can increase students' knowledge of history, culture, and languages from a global perspective.

Through course work in all disciplines, this initiative strives to promote sensitivity to political, economic, environmental, and social issues within an international context.

Challenges of Adjustment to University Life In The U.S.

Learning a new culture and learning in a new culture which may have different beliefs and values can be difficult. Even though international students are subject to the same stresses of academic and personal life as their U.S. counterparts, these stresses are compounded by being in an unfamiliar culture and surrounded by challenges of communication and language. Language is the most frequently reported barrier to adjusting to U.S. university life, followed by financial difficulties and problems adapting to the culture.

Female students, older students, students enrolled in scientific and technology courses, and students with limited exposure to foreign cultures are more likely to experience difficulties adjusting to university life in the U.S. (Dee & Henkin, 1999).

As the world is increasingly becoming to a multi-cultural, understanding the differences between cultures is becoming increasingly important. In this growing multi-cultural environment, members of this environment need to understand the strengths and weaknesses of their own culture in order to avoid their own blind spots. The work of Geert Hofstede (1997, 2001) helps us clearly see these differences. Hofstede's work suggested it is necessary to gain insights into other cultures so that

organizations can be more effective when interacting with people in other countries. If understood and applied properly, this information should reduce people's level of frustration, anxiety, and concern. It is critical to understand other cultures in order to create a multi-cultural or globalize environment.

Hofstede (1997, 2001) conducted extensive research on cultures and found a total of five categories to be instrumental in defining, understanding, and bridging cultural barriers to achieve organizational success. The first category, Power Distance Index (PDI) focuses on the degree of equality, or inequality, between people in the country's society where a high index indicates that inequalities of power and wealth have developed as opposed to a low index where differences between power and wealth have been de-emphasized. The second, Individualism (IDV), is differentiating between individuality and individual rights versus a more collectivist nature, one where extended families and collectives take responsibility for their group. Masculinity (MAS) is the third category and is the degree of reinforcing a masculine work role, control, and power, high being where males dominate the society and power structure and low where males and females are treated equally.

Uncertainty Avoidance Index (UAI) as the fourth category is a level of tolerance for uncertainty and ambiguity within the society. High avoidance indicates a low tolerance where rules, laws, and other controls are used to reduce the uncertainty. Low avoidance allows a variety of opinions, less rules, more risk taking, and accepting change more readily. The last category,

Long-Term Orientation (LTO) is the degree or level that the society focuses on traditional and forward thinking values. A high orientation is a commitment to the long term and tradition. A low orientation is just the opposite; allowing more change or less impediments by long term commitments and tradition to change. This last dimension emerged from a study with Chinese employees and managers.

A representative sample from Hofstede's Dimension of Cultural Scales. (2004) follows in Table 2.

Table 2

Sampling of Hofstede's Dimensions

Dimensions	PDI	IDV	UAI	MAS	LTO
Arab Countries	80	38	68	53	
USA	40	91	46	62	29
Germany	35	67	65	66	31
Mexico	81	30	82	69	
Philippines	94	32	44	64	19
Taiwan	58	17	69	45	87
China					118
Turkey	66	37	85	45	
South Korea	60	18	85	39	75
Japan	54	46	92	95	80
Brazil	69	38	76	49	65

As an example, Germany's PDI of 35 is low, indicating that differences between power and wealth have been de-emphasized, as opposed to Arab Countries index of 80, which indicates that inequalities of power and wealth have developed. Likewise, an index of 91 for IDV in the United States differentiates it in reference to individuality and individual rights versus a more collectivist nature, like that in Taiwan with an index of 17.

Hofstede's continuing research has been instrumental in understanding how culture is related to developing, learning, and engaging at all levels within organizations.

Craven and Kimmel (2002) focused enculturation on multicultural interdisciplinary doctoral studies addressing globalization and new cultures in the learning discussion and observed similar cultural differences as those indicated by Hofstede (1997, 2001). These differences included

group learning versus individual learning, uncertainty avoidance (the degree to which cultures tolerate ambiguity), masculinity (the degree to which the dominant cultural values focus on assertiveness, performance, and material success), and time orientation (the degree to which cultures are either long- or short-term oriented). (p.60)

Like the frequent language barrier reported in Dee and Henkin (1999), Craven and Kimmel (2002), experienced this barrier first hand in using a constructivist approach and Feuerstein's (2001) mediated learning experience (MLE), a learning experience "based on the concept of cognitive

modifiability” versus Piaget’s (1975/1985) concept of cognitive development (p. 62).

Besides the language barrier, some of the challenges that international students face: sense of loss when they move into a new culture; stress of learning new skills, language, and other cultural impacts; stereotypes about international students’ culture; low self-esteem; self-identity problems; gender differences; lack of social support; alienation from domestic students; culture shock; and a new educational system.

Unmet needs are the other challenges in the adjustment process. These needs of the international students are often non-academic in nature and result because their cultural backgrounds are different from those of the domestic students. Harold J. Adams made two relevant points pertaining to the matters of international students’ needs: that unsatisfied needs tend to negatively affect the personality of some students, which results in feelings of inadequacies; and that failure to meet certain biological or psychological needs of some students often leads to reduced academic performance. Basically, not meeting certain needs tends to reduce a student’s ability to concentrate on academically related tasks. (Obong, 1997)

Satisfying both academic (educational) and basic non-academic (support services) needs related to educational goals, living conditions, finances, social relations, and acculturation is essential for students enrolled in institutions of higher education. If these needs are neglected or unsatisfied,

they may pose serious problems for the students and the institutions in which the students are enrolled.

Studies about International Students

International students' problems and concerns have been examined in many studies. In one of these studies, Parr, Bradley, and Bing (1992) studied international students' adjustment patterns. Concerns and feelings of international university students attending a variety of colleges and universities throughout the United States were examined in the study. Findings from 163 international students revealed that they were most concerned about extended family, cultural differences, finances, and school. They found that international students are mostly happy and well adjusted, with more positive than negative feelings. Feelings are higher (more positive) in the first year of study.

Hart (1974) investigated international students' problems in selected public community colleges in Texas focusing on perceptions of international students and international student advisors. Two hundred and twenty international students and 30 international student advisors in 30 community colleges were administered Michigan International Student Perception Inventory. Results indicated that international student advisors and international students perceived the greatest problems in the areas of English language, financial aid, admissions and selection, and academic advising and records. Moreover, female students showed significantly different perceptions in several areas when compared with male students.

Besides problems, needs of international students and their satisfaction were also commonly examined in international students' adaptation process. In one of these studies, Obong (1984) examined the impact of non-academic needs of all college students. He compared 100 domestic and 100 international students. This study of non-academic services administered to international and non-international college and university students had three purposes: exploration of differences that might exist between the non-academic social service needs of international and non-international students; identification of a mean level of non-academic needs; and determination of the correlation between the non-academic needs of students and the student services provided. The study investigated student services, living conditions, student finances, social relations, and acculturation for a sample population of 100 international and 100 domestic students. The American College Testing Student Opinion Survey was used to collect data. Significant differences of opinions were found in the following areas: satisfaction with involvement in campus activities; involvement in religious activities; availability of instructors; opportunities for student employment; accuracy of pre-enrollment information; and flexibility to design a unique course of study. Both groups were satisfied with honors programs and dissatisfied with availability of courses desired.

Selvadurai (1991) examined the international students academic needs and satisfaction with the services provided on campus. One hundred and thirty seven international students were administered a 33-item questionnaire.

Responses highlight academic needs for all 15 categories examined and inadequacies in all but 2 of 17 personal services. It was found that students were not satisfied even to minimum levels. Some areas that needed change were: improvements in English, counseling in curriculum programming, academic advising, rapport with faculty, availability of tutoring services, and orientation to the academic setting.

Gomez (1987) reviewed the needs of international students in California's community colleges. Demographic characteristics of international students and their academic, social, and recreational needs were investigated. A questionnaire administered to determine the population of international students in California Community Colleges, the characteristics of these students, the effectiveness with which foreign students were being served; and the extent of social recreational programs available for international students. Major findings of this study showed that there were serious lack of administrative support for providing autonomous departments of international student affairs with only moderate support was given to the provision of appropriate levels of staffing; and less than half of the colleges currently seek or provide community support such as family housing, student clubs, or social functions for international students.

Tabdili (1984) examined the effectiveness of international student office services in meeting needs of international students in colleges and universities. Five hundred and six international students and twenty international student office officials near San Francisco Bay were sampled in

the study. The main questions of the research addressed differences between student and advisor perceptions regarding the frequency use, importance, and effectiveness of the services provided by the international student advisors. Results indicated that international students were significantly more negative in most areas and perceived the services as significantly less effective.

As Lee, Abd-Ella, and Burks (1981) pointed out, universities need to examine international students' needs and construct programs accordingly. It is crucial to be aware of effective factors in order to improve international students' success and satisfaction with their academic experiences and for retention purposes.

As a common finding, academically related needs and achievements are very important for international students. Britton, Chamberlain, Davis, Easley, Grunden, and Williams (2003) noted several factors for international students to be more successful in academic settings. These are international student faculty interactions, instructional methods, and the effectiveness of instructional tools. For this study, 19 undergraduate international business students at a highly competitive and prestigious business school in Midwest were contacted through e-mail and classroom solicitation. The caring or approachable nature of faculty, use or lack of inclusive examples and illustration, preference for visual instructional tools, challenging and valuable group projects, and the students' assertiveness in the classroom were all factors in the results.

Molinar (1996) investigated the factors in student retention at Barry University, a private urban comprehensive university. Data were gathered from 3,000 students entering the university between Fall 1991 and Spring 1995. The students were surveyed on expectations during orientation classes, and again on satisfaction with college experience after six weeks of classes. The research showed that students' academic success was the dominating retention factor. Social and psychological outcomes, measured by satisfaction with social experiences and the on-campus social environment, were next in importance. Findings of this research clearly stated that institutional strategies to improve students' academic performance and speed progress toward a degree may help improve their motivation.

Zhao, Kuh, and Carini (2001) concluded in their research that international students were more engaged in educationally purposeful activities than domestic students. For this study, researchers examined levels of engagement in effective educational practices of 3,000 undergraduate international students and more than 67,000 domestic students at 317 universities. For this research, the College Student report was designed to measure the degree to which students participate in educational practices that prior research shows are linked to valued outcomes of college. This survey consists of 69 items which measured involvement in different types of in-class and out-of-class activities; amount of reading and writing; participation in selected educational programs, such as study abroad, internships and senior capstone courses; perception of the campus environment including the quality

of students' relationships with peers, faculty members, and administrators; and student satisfaction with academic advising and their overall collegiate experience. The independent t-tests indicated that international first-year students scored higher than domestic students on level of academic challenge, active and collaborative learning, student-faculty interaction, and technology use. Results showed that first-year international students spent less time relaxing and socializing, and were less satisfied compared with American students. The findings from this study indicate that international students are more engaged in some areas than American students, particularly in the first year of college, and less engaged in others. First-year international students surpassed their American counterparts in level of academic challenge, and student-faculty interaction.

The research done by Liu and Liu (1999) found that characteristics of students and academic variables affect student attrition. This research study was conducted at a medium-size midwestern commuter campus with a sample of 14,476 students. To ensure diversity, the sample included subjects of various levels of scholastic achievement, different ethnicities, religions, both sexes, and transfer students, as well native freshmen. Throughout the study, it became increasingly apparent that student-faculty relationships were often crucial to student retention. Student-faculty relationships consist not just of formal interaction in the classroom, but also informal contact, such as discussions during office hours. Thus, student retention requires faculty to relate to the student body. Consequently, high student retention requires more

racial diversity among the faculty. The same study proved that minority students, native students, and older adults had lower retention rates due to dissatisfaction with the environment they were in.

Providing social support to international students is essential. Results of Klineberg and Hull's (1979) study reported that social contact with those locals was a significant factor in the coping process. Also results of this research showed that feelings of alienation from university life have been significantly more severe for international students than for students from the United States. In this manner, counseling services and their possible effects can be pointed out for smoother adaptations. Gilbert (1989) pointed out that providing special counseling and related services is vital to international student success. Academic progress may be overshadowed by students needing help with living arrangements, medical/health issues, or working with social agencies and immigration offices. Additionally, Gilbert felt that discussing the special needs of the less elite international student is vital.

Although counseling services are very important resources for international students, counseling services are not used frequently. As Boyer and Sedlacek (1988) reported in their study, only 13% of the international students use counseling center services, while 87% never attempted to use this service. Moreover gender difference is also a critical factor. A study done by Manes, Leong and Sedlacek (1984) indicated that females have a greater need to talk to a counselor than did males.

International counseling service was researched by Barrow, Cox, Sepich, and Spivak (1989) to find out how students would use counseling services. This study examined the degree to which surveyed student needs were indicative of counseling groups and workshops that students actually used over four-year period. Needs assessment was only modestly indicative of group and workshop services student used. Results support the contention that multiple sources of information should be used to assess student's needs and that needs should be assessed continually. Students were mostly satisfied but felt more specialized clubs were needed as well as assistance from a stand-alone international education office.

Student Retention

While diversity and tolerance issues are often emphasized as a continuing concern of colleges and universities (Ballobin, 1993), retention is still a top priority in most cases (Tinto, 1993; Gerdes & Mallinckrodt, 1994).

The successful integration of students into the university environment is a crucial element in raising retention rates. Some common efforts at universities to achieve such integration are freshman seminars, mentoring programs involving faculty and staff (Nelson, 1993; Clark, 1995), and strategies developed to create a supportive campus climate (Szelenyi, 2001). It is also important to meet needs of international students for retention purposes. Zhao, Kuh, and Carini (2002) looked specifically at international student needs and U.S. educational practices and discovered differences

between international and domestic students related to the density of students on campus.

Orientation is one of the most significant elements of retention process. The importance of orientation programs for international students is critical, not only in welcoming and introducing them even prior to their actual arrival, but also in providing a continuous support infrastructure to fully integrate them both socially and academically. Meyer (2001) presents a comprehensive framework in designing and executing orientation programs, showing how meeting needs—academic and non-academic—improves retention and the achievement of educational goals—not only for the student but for the university as well. These orientation programs are long term and integrated with other university programs. The framework utilizes four concepts: common needs, principles in design and execution, components, and approaches. Seven unique needs are articulated for international students:

- cross-cultural adjustment (read culture shock, understanding, and adaptation)
- adjusting to the American education system and achieving success
- enhancing English language proficiency
- establishing interpersonal relationships and social support networks
- maintaining physical and psychological well-being
- managing finances

- knowing immigration regulations

Design and implementation principles, based on theories, research, and experiences include meeting international student needs based on Maslow's hierarchy of needs, definite purpose (explicitly stated goals), theory guiding orientation practice where international student professionals use Maslow, Erikson (psychological development), and Wladkowski (adult motivation theory) and others, facilitating learning and helping students learn how to learn, promoting intercultural learning and cross-cultural adjustment, cultural and language sensitivity, collaboration, and assessment. The above principles, when combined with the common needs of international students, provide a guideline and a base for determining the components, content and structure of comprehensive international student orientation programs. Unique in this research and framework is considering returning orientation for departing international students as they return to their home countries (Meyer, 2001).

The other important element is to encourage international students to use available support services in campus. Once problems do occur, students should be encouraged to use counseling services of practitioners trained in cross-cultural counseling. According to Surdam & Collins (1995) only 10% of international students seek personal counseling, and only 6% seek academic advisement, preferring instead to make contact with faculty and peers from the country of origin (Huntley, 1993).

International students need assistance in admissions and orientation, information about institutional facilities and services, and an understanding of

laws regarding their international status. On-going security issues from September 11, 2001 are now addressed in the United States by a new Homeland Security Department and the Patriot Act and have resulted in more stringent immigration procedures.

A recent survey reported in the *Chronicle for Higher Education* conducted by five educational associations (NAFSA, the American Council on Education, the Association of American Universities, the Council of Graduate Schools, and the National Association of State Universities and Land-Grant Colleges) found that colleges experienced the smallest increase (0.6 percent) of international student enrollment in a decade, after having increases of 6.4 percent in the previous two years. The Educational Testing Service notes that “one-third fewer international students have applied to take the Graduate Record Examinations” in 2003-2004 and “also show a precipitous decline in students from China and India, which supply nearly one-third of all U.S. graduate students. (Arnone, 2004, p. A21).

International students are often unfamiliar with U.S. society and aspects of university life. An international student counselor can facilitate the student’s adjustment considering social relationships, career counseling, and return adjustment as additional concerns. The job of a full time international student advisor is complicated by the different cultures and different needs – academic and non-academic of international students.

By becoming aware of their home culture, different learning styles, and frustrations in adjusting to school life and in overcoming cultural shocks,

educators and administrators can assist international students in adjusting and learning about the culture and U.S. educational system. To facilitate international and domestic student learning, the professor needs to be cognizant of cultural differences. International students tend to regard and revere the professor as very important, so caution is needed to prevent any student embarrassment (Cable, 1974).

Faculty members often emphasize the learning styles influenced by their own cultural background. However, these instructional practices may not be congruent with the educational preferences and learning styles of international students. In overcoming these obstacles, faculty should be aware of how their cultural framework shapes their teaching styles. Faculty participation in study groups and workshops addressing issues of racial identity is one way of facilitating conversations of the diverse needs of today's student populations. In addition, teachers should structure their instructional activities based on the diverse learning preferences represented in their classrooms (Szelenyi, 2001). To help ease international students into a new and unfamiliar educational environment English classes, cultural orientations, and peer support programs are also essential (Huntley, 1993).

Summary

In the U.S., the university strategy should include both international and multicultural themes and reflect the great diversity of ethnic, racial, and national groups. Educators must encompass both the U.S. and international students in promoting understanding about diversity--commonalities as well

as differences, strengths as well as weaknesses, and values as well as practices. The cultural diversity of the U.S. society can be used to appreciate and understand diversity in the world (Scott, 1994).

Diversity, like change, continues to occur, but like change it can be planned to capitalize on its benefits, not liabilities. As the world becomes more internationalized, previous boundaries disappear, become more transparent, overlap, or change. Diversity not only affects people but organizations as well—families, groups, communities, businesses, education, and governments. Understanding the issues of culture, learning, and education, and how they are inter-related is increasingly important in promoting diversity, retaining international and domestic students, planning interventions, and implementing changes. Along with developing change adaptability in organizations, culture itself can be used to facilitate change (Argyris & Schon, 1996; Kezar & Eckel, 2002; Senge, 2000; Senge, 1999).

International student issues have been researched for quite a number of years as indicated by the studies conducted as early as 1974. Some of these issues are as relevant today as they were then. The survey / interview approach in the following chapter will be used to analyze and define the needs, both academic and non-academic, of international and domestic students today.

Chapter 3: Methodology

Using the American College Testing Student (ACT) Opinion Survey, Obong (1984) studied and surveyed international and domestic students to determine if their non-academic needs were being satisfied, and found significant differences between student populations. Later, Obong (1997) found that not meeting non-academic needs results in students not being able to fully satisfy their academic needs and goals. Zhao, Kuh, and Carini (2001) concentrated on academic needs and found international students more engaged than domestic students. Concentrating on meeting both non-academic and academic needs, this current research study concentrates on using a quantitative methodology, verified by selected interviews, to understand and determine what challenges international and domestic students and institutions of higher learning face in meeting educational goals and retaining students.

Research Design

A phased and sequential method was used to obtain the data in the present study. Creswell (2002) categorizes this method as explanatory where qualitative aspects are used to follow up (verify) the quantitative data. Comprised of three stages, the first two stages used a quantitative approach involving the collection and analysis of numerical data, testing the existence of relationships between variables, and making inferences about the samples. In the first stage, current students were administered a new edition of the ACT

Survey of Student Opinion Questionnaire (Appendix A). Based on the results of the first stage, a new survey developed by the researcher was administered in the second stage to students who discontinued their studies.

Following the quantitative methodology, an interview process was used to verify and confirm what was learned in the first two stages. As Taylor and Bogdan (1984) define it, qualitative research is committed to understanding social phenomena from the actor's own perspective and characterized by five traits:

- natural setting is the direct source of data and research is the key instrument
- written results are descriptive
- focus is on process rather than simply on outcomes
- data are analyzed inductively, and
- intended meaning is of essential concern (Bogdan & Biklen, 1992; Creswell, 1994).

In the present study, qualitative research—interviews and open-ended questions—allowed further exploration of the how faculty, staff, and international students are involved, in addition to the in-class and out-of-class learning experiences. Twelve students who participated in the second stage of the present study were interviewed by phone to more clearly identify and articulate the phenomenon at hand—retention of international students and the campus climate.

Factors considered in this research included travel from the native country to the U.S., introduction and orientation, on and off-campus housing, testing and outcome assessment, placement (determination of which academic program to pursue), enrollment, degree program satisfaction, previous experiences in studying abroad, reasons for wanting to study abroad, and desire to return to their native country before completing the first regular semester.

Participants were purposefully selected, rather than randomly chosen from a large population. An action-based research was implemented in the study to better document the phenomena—context, process, and experiences.

The keys in the study are carefully identifying the samples, piloting (testing), modifying, collecting, and effectively using the data. Combined effects, regression and other validity threat as noted by Greene (1997) make the interpretation of results more difficult. The reliability studies in the first stage and the verification process used in the third stage helped to overcome these difficulties.

The Role of the Researcher

The researcher interacts with the participants in the social process but makes no pretense of actually being a participant. Since the researcher in this study was known to some of the participants, it was important to remain unbiased and objective throughout the process and refrain from influencing the interview or facilitation unduly. In the quantitative part, the researcher

was objectively oriented as compared to a less objective orientation during the verification process.

Protection of Human Subjects

In order to protect participants' human rights, institutional review board approval was requested. The last stage of the process was to conduct an information session with potential participants. This session provided an opportunity to inform the potential participants about the study and gave them an opportunity to ask questions regarding the informed consent, subsequent interviews, and facilitation. The informed consent was voluntary which the participant chose to accept verbally because the interview was by telephone. The informed consent document was a legal document containing information to allow the subject to make an informed decision (Appendix E). Participants were told they may discontinue participation in the study at any time. At all times there was an assurance of confidentiality.

The researcher kept all the information obtained by the interview stage confidential. All of this information was kept in a locked cabinet to which only the researcher would have access. All information was destroyed upon successful dissertation defense and approval.

Procedure

This study was comprised of three stages, the *first stage* involved administering a standardized questionnaire, ACT Survey of Student Opinion, (Appendix A) to 88 students currently enrolled at the university (45

international and 43 domestic) to determine where students demonstrated differences. The results of this survey were provided in a summary report (ACT Evaluation / Survey Service, 2003). Using SPSS 11.5 (2000), these results were further analyzed and set the groundwork for the next stage. In the *second stage*, the researcher used the results of the first survey and by selecting the areas of the greatest dissatisfaction, developed a new survey. It was piloted, revised, and then e-mailed to 150 former domestic and 150 former international students who had departed the university without graduating. The *last stage* involved developing questions based on the second survey and interviewing six non-graduating former domestic and six non-graduating former international students. These interviews served to verify, or “spot check” the researcher’s interpretation of the previous results.

Sample

A purposeful sampling strategy, defined as choosing particular subjects, and suggested by Bogdan and Biken (1992) was used to inform and achieve the purpose of the study.

First Stage Sample

The Survey of Student Opinions ACT (Appendix A) was administered on campus to 88 (43 international, 45 domestic) current university students. The age range of the sample was 19 to 29. Of those participating in this survey, 46 were female and 41 male, with 40% working only occasional jobs. Of all the students, 26.1% of the parents held a bachelor’s degree. Graduate

students represented 35.2% of the sample and 92% of the students were full-time.

Domestic and international student characteristics are portrayed in

Figure 2:

Characteristics of the Sample—Domestic and International.




Figure 2. Characteristics of the Sample—Domestic and International

Domestic Student Characteristics

- Majority of domestic students (81.3%) were between 18 and 25 years of age.
- Majority of students replying to this questionnaire were female (60.5%)

- Mothers (51.2%) had some college or above compared to fathers (58.1%) with some college or above experience.
- Undergraduate students represented 88.4% compared to 11.6% graduate or post graduate.
- Full time students amounted to 93%.
- Only 27.9% of the domestic students reside on campus.
- Major source of education funding was either parents or relatives for 30.2%.
- Other funding (69.8%) included scholarships, grants, loans, or from their personal savings for their education.

International Student Characteristics

- Ages between 18 and 25 represented 50% with the other half being 26 or above.
- Unlike domestic students, the majority of the international students were male (54.5%)
- Fathers had some college experience or above (79.6%) and mothers of mothers had some college or above (70.5%).
- Undergraduate students numbered 40.9% compared to 59.1% graduate and post graduate students.
- All of the international students were full-time.
- Those staying on campus comprised 40.9%.

- Majority (61.4%) said their major source for funding their higher education comes from either from their parents or relatives.

Second Stage Sample

For the second stage, the researcher designed a customized survey by using the results from the first survey. Results of the first survey were analyzed to find the problem areas and the second survey was developed based on those problem areas and piloted to 10 currently enrolled domestic and 10 currently enrolled international students as a validity study for this questionnaire. After piloting and analyzing the results, the survey was finalized, administered on a different set of 10 currently enrolled domestic and 10 currently enrolled international students, and found to be valid.

Domestic and international students, 150 each respectively, who had already left the university, were sent this validated survey via email; however, 50% of these questionnaires immediately came back as undeliverable mail. Of 150 delivered mails, 40 former students (combination of domestic and international students) replied. Of 40 responding, there were 4 who had already graduated leaving 36 answered surveys (14 domestic and 22 international students).

Table 3

Respondents to Second Survey

NUMBER OF STUDENTS REPLYING TO SECOND SURVEY	
Domestic Students	14
International Students	22

Third Stage Sample

During this final stage, 12 former international and domestic students who left the university before the completion of their studies were specifically selected and interviewed over the phone. The 12 candidates were chosen from 36 previous second stage participants who had provided telephone numbers and consented to a follow up.

Data Collection Instruments

The survey research method and interview technique were used in the present study. Three surveys were used in the first two stages of the study: American College Testing (ACT) Survey of Student Opinions, an additional survey contained within the ACT survey (Section VI) using the Sociocultural Adaptation Scale (Ward & Kennedy, 1999), and a researcher developed survey. The interview technique was used in the third stage of the study based on a semi-structured format and follow-up.

The Survey of Student Opinions, a national standardized instrument by American College Testing Program (ACT), was used to assess students' perceptions of the importance of, and satisfaction with, a full range of programs, services, and environmental factors at the college they are attending. Also included were an extended set of background items and a set of items related to students' impressions of, and experiences at, the college. The survey consists of 6 sections. In Section I, background information is assessed. In Section II, importance of and satisfaction with college services and programs were assessed with 21 items by using 5 point scales ranging from 1 no importance to 5 very great importance, and 1 very dissatisfied to 5 very satisfied. Three of the sample questions in this section asked participants about college-sponsored activities and programs, both orientation and cultural. In Section III, *importance of* and *satisfaction with* college environment were assessed with 43 items by using 5 point scales ranging from 1 no importance to 5 very great importance, and 1 very dissatisfied to 5 very satisfied. Two of the sample questions focused on out of class availability of one's instructor and flexibility to design one's own program of study.

In Section IV, college *impressions* were assessed by 4 different subsections. In the first subsection, 8 items (impressions) were measured using a 5 point scale ranging from 1 *strongly disagree*; to 5 *strongly agree*. One of the sample questions queried if this college had helped him or her meet the goals they came to achieve. The second subsection asked students' ratings (first, second, third, and fourth choices) of the college, the third subsections

asked students if they would choose the same college again if they could, and the final section asked students' overall impression. In Section V, students' *experiences at the college* were assessed by 5 questions using a 5-point scale ranging from *1 none* to *5 very great*. One of the sample questions asked about individual growth—acquiring knowledge, skills, ideas, concepts, and analytical thinking.

One of the last sections, Section VI was utilized to insert and measure the Sociocultural Adaptation Scale (Ward & Kennedy, 1999). This scale measures intercultural competence with emphasis on behavioral domains and cognitive domains. It requires respondents to indicate the amount of difficulty experienced in 29 areas by using a five-point scale ranging from *(1) no difficulty* to *(5) extreme difficulty*. A high score indicates high difficulty. Some of the areas are making friends and understanding the U.S. perspective on the culture. The very last section, Section VII was available for comments and suggestions.

The researcher developed customized survey was comprised of two parts, the first part containing 18 Yes or No questions on cultural adaptation, services, academic programs, and understanding of the U.S. system of higher education and the second part asking what were the three most important reasons in leaving the university.

The interview technique, based on a semi-structured format, focused on allowing the interviewee to express and articulate their reasons for

deciding to leave the university and then asking follow-up questions based on their responses.

Data Analysis Process

Prior to the analysis of the data, reliability studies were conducted on the first stage sample. Data were analyzed with item analysis and Cronbach's alpha to determine item function and reliability. Cronbach's alpha, the most common index of reliability, is associated with the variation accounted for by the true score of the hypothetical variable that is being measured. Alpha coefficient ranges in value from 0 to 1 and may be used to describe the reliability of factors extracted from dichotomous (that is, questions with two possible answers) and/or multi-point formatted questionnaires or scales (i.e., rating scale: *1 = poor, 5 = excellent*). The higher the score, the more reliable the generated scale is (Reynaldo & Santos, 1999). Nunnally (1978) has indicated 0.70 to be an acceptable reliability coefficient, but lower thresholds are sometimes used in the literature.

Data were then analyzed by using the *t*-test on the first stage sample to determine the difference between currently enrolled domestic and international students in terms of opinions regarding college services, environment, impressions, experiences, and differences in socio-cultural adaptation. Also *t*-tests and one-way analysis of variances (ANOVAs) were conducted to analyze current students' opinions regarding their experiences in college in terms of age, gender, educational level, and residency status. In the second stage, frequency distribution was used along with graphs to

demonstrate significant differences between international and domestic students' dissatisfaction regarding university services. Statistically, if $p < .05$, there is a significant difference.

In the third and final stage using the interview technique, each participant was queried based on a semi-structured format. Each interview was tape-recorded for the purpose of capturing all the data, questions, follow-up, and other comments. These data were transcribed and then analyzed ascertaining themes and verifying the overall direction of the research.

Chapter 4: Data Analysis

This chapter presents the data analyses of all three-study stages obtained by studying the samples—reliability studies, surveys, statistics, and interviews.

Reliability Studies—Survey of Student Opinions

Internal Consistency coefficients of the sections of the Survey of Student Opinions were obtained by calculating the Cronbach alpha coefficients. The coefficients are presented in Table 4.

Table 4

Internal Consistency Coefficients of Sections of Survey of Student Opinions

Sections	
College Services	
Importance	.91
Experience & Satisfaction	.88
College Environment	
Importance	.96
Experience & Satisfaction	.92
College Impressions-Part A	.92
Experience at the College	.88

As can be seen in Table 4, the Cronbach alpha coefficients of sections ranged between .88 and .96 and indicate acceptable reliability beyond .70.

Reliability Studies of Social Adaptation Scale

Item-total correlation coefficients, Cronbach alpha coefficients, and factor structures were examined. As seen in Table 5, the item-total correlations of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale ranged between .40 and .84.

Table 5

Item-Correlations of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale items

Items	Item-total correlations	Items	Item-total correlations
1	.55	16	.61
2	.40	17	.65
3	.77	18	.70
4	.75	19	.54
5	.78	20	.75
6	.52	21	.60
7	.59	22	.75
8	.70	23	.78
9	.84	24	.69
10	.76	25	.65
11	.62	26	.71
12	.58	27	.73
13	.67	28	.73
14	.72	29	.63
15	.71		

Internal Consistency Reliability of the Sociocultural Adaptation Scale

Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficient for this scale was reported as .89. In the present study and sample, reliability of the scale was tested and the Cronbach's alpha coefficient was found as .96 using SPSS 11.5 (2000).

Factor Analysis

Item Loadings of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale

Item loadings were examined by analyzing 29 items of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale through principal component with varimax rotation, a technique that simplifies factors by maximizing the variance of the loadings within factors in order to interpret factors easily. An eigenvalue of 1.00 was set as the criterion. The item loadings of the items are presented in Table 6.

Table 6

Item Loadings of Sociocultural Adaptation Scale and their Communalities

Items	Item-loadings	Communalities
1	.64	.67
2	.83	.75
3	.46	.75
4	.53	.74
5	.50	.75
6	.68	.60
7	.73	.71
8	.63	.83
9	.63	.79
10	.58	.76
11	.80	.75
12	.60	.79
13	.64	.67
14	.53	.72
15	.69	.72
16	.50	.60
17	.63	.74
18	.66	.70
19	.58	.74
20	.64	.78
21	.72	.63
22	.72	.79
23	.63	.80
24	.71	.78
25	.70	.68
26	.64	.73
27	.72	.73
28	.70	.81
29	.66	.67

As seen in Table 6, the values of factor loadings of the items changed between .46 and .83. It can be seen that item loadings are high.

Factor Loadings of the Scale

Results from principal component analysis and the varimax rotation method yielded a 6-factor solution to the Sociocultural Adaptation Scale. The first factor accounted for 49.61 % of the total variance, and factor 2 accounted for 6.64 % of the variance, factor 3 accounted for 4.87% of the variance, factor 4 accounted for 4.56% of the variance, factor 5 accounted for 4.01% of the variance, and factor 6 accounted for 3.62% of the variance. A total of 79.39% of the variance was accounted for by six factors. The eigenvalues associated with Factors 1-6 were, respectively, 14.38, 1.93, 1.41, 1.32, 1.19 and 1.05.

According to the factor compositions, factor 1 consisted of 7 items, factor 2 consisted of 6 items, factor 3 consisted of 4 items, factor 4 consisted of 5 items, factor 5 consisted of 5 items, and factor 6 consisted of 2 items.

Analysis Results—First Stage

All the current students' opinions regarding their college and social adaptation were initially examined. The purpose was to provide a broader picture of current students' opinions by examining certain variables, namely *age, gender, educational level and residency status*, and form a base for further comparison studies between international and domestic students. These same categories are addressed at the last part of this first stage analysis

in terms of importance of and satisfaction with without age, gender, educational level, and residency status.

Student's Opinions about College Services and College Environment

Students' opinions about importance of college services were investigated in the present study. According to the results, the *most important* services ranked by the students on the campus were:

1. Computer Support and Services
2. Academic Advising Services
3. Library Facilities and Services
4. Financial Aid Services and
5. Parking Facilities and Services.

Students also ranked the *most satisfying* college services on the campus as:

1. Library Facilities and Services
2. Student Health/Wellness Services
3. Academic Advising Services
4. Personal Counseling Services
5. Student Employment Services

Parking facilities and services were found to be the least satisfying services on the campus; however, they were also shown to be the least important.

When students ranked the *importance of the college environment*, the results were as follows:

1. quality of instruction in the major field
2. course content in the major field
3. value of the information provided by the advisor
4. attitude of the faculty towards student
5. availability of the courses at the times that can be taken

Students ranked the *most satisfying college environment factors* in the campus as:

1. class size relative to the type of course
2. personal security/safety at the college
3. attitude of the faculty towards students
4. classroom facilities
5. out-of-class availability of instructors.

Differences in Students' Opinions

The differences in importance of and satisfaction with college impression, experiences, services, and environment along with social adaptation were analyzed using *t*-tests in terms of gender, age, educational level and residency status of the 88 currently enrolled students.

College Impression

The difference in students' opinions regarding college impression as defined in Section IV of the ACT survey was examined. Means and standard deviations are presented in Table 7.

Table 7

Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating college impressions in terms of gender, educational level and residency status

Variables	Categories	N	Mean	SD	t
Gender	Female	48	76.54	12.84	.04
	Male	40	76.66	16.27	
Educational level	Undergraduate	51	75.08	12.37	-1.37
	Graduate	33	79.47	16.93	
Residency status	On campus	31	79.82	12.57	1.56
	Off campus	57	74.84	15.14	

A significant difference was found in terms of educational level and age; however, there were no significant differences in terms of gender and residency status. Results indicated that the means for educational level of students differed significantly, $p = .033$, $p < .05$. According to the results, undergraduates ($M=31.86$) were more satisfactorily impressed with the college than graduate students ($M=28.66$). To examine whether college impression changed by age, a one –way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was conducted. There was a significant effect for age $F(3, 84) = 2.49$, $p < .01$. A Tukey procedure showed significant differences between students aged 19 to 22, and 23 to 25. Students aged 19 to 22 ($M=31.69$) were more impressed with the college than students aged 23 to 25 ($M=27.14$).

College Experiences

The difference in students' opinions regarding college experiences as defined in Section V of the ACT Survey was examined. Means and standard

deviations for the scores of college experiences in terms gender, educational level and residency status of are presented in Table 8.

Table 8

Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating college experiences in terms of gender, educational level and residency status

Variables	Categories	N	Mean	SD	t
Gender	Female	48	18.13	3.86	-1.98
	Male	40	19.69	3.53	
Educational level	Undergraduate	51	19.65	3.47	1.82
	Graduate	33	18.12	4.15	
Residency status	On campus	31	18.74	3.93	-.44
	Off campus	57	19.11	3.66	

Results indicated that there were significant differences in terms of gender and educational level; however, there were no significant differences in terms of age and residency status. For gender, the means differed significantly $p = .051$, $p < .01$. According to the results, female students ($M = 19.69$) reported better college experiences than male student ($M = 18.13$). For educational level, the means differed significantly, $p = .07$, $p < .01$. Undergraduates ($M = 19.65$) reported better college experiences than graduate students ($M = 18.12$). To examine whether college experience changed by age, a one –way ANOVA was conducted. No significant effect for age $F(3, 84) = 1.34$ was found.

College Services and Environment

The differences in importance of and satisfaction with college services and college environment were examined. Means and standard deviations for

the scores of importance of and satisfaction with college services and college environment are presented in Table 9.

Table 9. Means and standard deviations for the scores of importance of and satisfaction with college services and college environment in terms gender, educational level and residency status

	Variables	Categories	N	Mean	SD	t
Importance of College Services	Gender	Female	48	76.54	12.84	.04
		Male	40	76.66	16.27	
	Educational level	Undergraduate	51	75.08	12.37	-1.37
		Graduate	33	79.47	16.93	
	Residency status	On campus	31	79.82	12.57	1.56
		Off campus	57	74.84	15.14	
Satisfaction with College Services	Gender	Male	48	54.67	18.91	.69
		Female	40	51.96	17.48	
	Educational level	Undergraduate	51	51.05	17.29	-1.30
		Graduate	33	56.35	19.52	
	Residency status	On campus	31	55.30	16.72	.81
		Off campus	57	52.04	18.84	
Importance of College Environment	Gender	Male	40	164.65	29.54	-.83
		Female	48	169.52	25.51	
	Educational level	Undergraduate	51	169.05	26.69	.46
		Graduate	33	166.20	29.27	
	Residency status	On campus	31	172.83	25.87	1.41
		Off campus	57	164.30	27.90	
Satisfaction with College Environment	Gender	Male	40	137.73	28.20	.01
		Female	48	137.67	26.47	
	Educational level	Undergraduate	51	138.96	22.61	.42
		Graduate	33	136.41	33.72	
	Residency status	On campus	31	145.52	25.69	2.03
		Off campus	57	133.44	27.13	

Regarding *satisfaction with college environment*, there was a significant difference in terms of residency status. The means differed significantly $p = .04$, $p < .05$. According to the results students who were living on campus ($M=145.52$) were more satisfied with the college environment than the students who live off campus ($M=133.44$). On the other hand, in the present study no significant difference was found in *importance of and satisfaction with college services*, and the importance, not satisfaction, of *college environment* in terms of gender, age, educational level and residency status.

Social Adaptation

The difference in students' social adaptation levels was examined using *t*-tests. Means and standard deviations of social adaptation scores are presented in Table 10.

Table 10

Means and Standard deviations of scores indicating social adaptation in terms of gender, educational level and residency status

Variables	Categories	N	Mean	SD	t
Gender	Female	48	59.74	24.39	2.39
	Male	40	48.27	17.30	
Educational level	Undergraduate	51	46.50	18.65	-2.91
	Graduate	33	60.70	21.78	
Residency status	On campus	31	59.34	20.97	2.11
	Off campus	57	49.02	20.49	

Results indicated that there were significant differences in students' social adaptation scores. A significant difference was found in terms of gender. The mean of scores indicating social satisfaction of female students is 48.27 with the standard deviation of 17.30. The mean of male students is 59.74 with the standard deviation of 24.39. The means differed significantly $p = .02$, $p < .05$. According to the results, male students ($M = 59.74$) had more difficulty in social adaptation than female student ($M = 48.27$).

A significant difference was found in terms of education level. The mean of scores for social satisfaction of undergraduate students is 46.50 with a standard deviation of 18.65. The mean of graduate students is 60.70 with a standard deviation of 21.78. As results indicated the means differed significantly, $p = .005$ $p < .05$. Graduate students ($M = 60.70$) had more difficulty in social adaptation than undergraduate students ($M = 46.50$).

According to the results, a significant difference in residency status of social adaptation was found with the means differing significantly, $p = .04$ $p < .05$. The mean score of students' social adaptation who stay on campus is 59.34 with the standard deviation of 20.97. The mean score of students who stay off campus is 49.02 with the standard deviation of 20.49. Students who were living on campus ($M = 59.34$) had more difficulty in social adaptation than students who were living off campus ($M = 49.02$). To examine whether college experience changed by age, one –way ANOVA was conducted. No significant effect for $F(3, 84) = 1.95$ was found.

Differences Between International And Domestic Students (*Without
Consideration to Age, Gender, Educational Level, And Residency Status*)

Satisfaction with College Environment

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of satisfaction scores with college environment was investigated by using *t*-tests.

Means and standard deviations for the satisfaction scores with college environment are presented in Table 11.

Table 11

Means and Standard deviations of satisfaction scores with college environment of international and domestic students

Group	N	Mean	SD	t
International	43	131.34	28.16	-2.198
Domestic	45	143.77	24.87	

The means differed significantly at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .03$). The mean of satisfaction scores with college environment of international students is 131.34 with the standard deviation of 28.16. The mean of domestic students is 143.77 with the standard deviation of 24.87. Results indicated that domestic students ($M = 143.77$) were more satisfied than international students ($M = 131.34$) in terms of the college environment.

College Impression (International vs Domestic Students)

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of college impressions was investigated by using *t*-tests. Means and standard deviations for the satisfaction scores of college services are presented in Table 12.

Table 12

Means and Standard deviations of college impressions of international and domestic students

Group	N	Mean	SD	t
International	43	28.93	6.98	-2.21
Domestic	45	32.00	6.03	

The means differed significantly at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .03$). The mean of college impressions of international students is 28.93 with the standard deviation of 6.98. The mean of domestic students is 32 with the standard deviation of 6.03. Results indicated that domestic students ($M = 32$) had better college impressions than international students ($M = 28.93$).

College Experiences

The difference between international and domestic students in terms college experiences was investigated using *t*-tests. Means and standard deviations for the satisfaction scores of college services are presented in Table13.

Table13

Means and Standard deviations of college experiences of international and domestic students

Group	N	Mean	SD	t
International	43	17.63	4.21	-3.52
Domestic	45	20.27	2.69	

The means differed significantly at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .01$). The mean of college experiences of international students is 17.63 with the standard deviation of 4.21. The mean of domestic students is 20.27 with the standard deviation of 2.69. Results indicated that domestic students ($M = 20.27$) had better college experiences than international students ($M = 17.63$).

Importance of College Services

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of scores indicating importance given to college services was investigated by using *t*-tests. Regarding importance of college services, no significant difference at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .76$) was found.

Satisfaction with College Services

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of scores indicating satisfaction with college services was investigated using *t*-

tests with the results indicating that the means did not differ significantly at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .77$).

Importance of College Environment

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of scores indicating importance given to college environment was investigated with the t -test results indicating that there was not a significant mean difference ($p = 11, p < .05$).

Social Adaptation

The difference between international and domestic students in terms of social adaptation scores was investigated by using t -tests. Means and standard deviations for the scores of social adaptation are presented in Table 14.

Table 14

Means and Standard deviations of social adaptation scores of international and domestic students

Group	N	Mean	SD	t
International	38	64.14	19.66	5.088
Domestic	37	43.37	15.37	

The means differed significantly at the $p < .05$ level ($p = .00$). The mean of social adaptation scores of international students is 64.14 with the standard deviation of 19.66. The mean of domestic students is 43.37 with the standard deviation of 15.37. According to the results, international students

($M = 64.14$) had more difficulty in social adaptation than domestic students ($M = 43.37$).

Analysis Results—Second Stage

Questionnaires were sent to 300 randomly selected former students by e-mail. About 50% of these questionnaires came back as undeliverable mail. Out of second 150 questionnaires, 40 former students replied. Analysis was only done on 36 students because the remaining 4 had already graduated or were exchange students who were on campus for only a short period of time.

As seen in Figure 3, over 70 percent of domestic students who left the university were female as compared to over 60 percent the international students who were predominantly male.




Figure 3. Gender of Domestic and International Students

On the first question, students were asked if they were welcomed and comfortable at the university. All of the domestic students stated they felt welcomed and were comfortable. Of the international students who responded, 71 percent felt welcomed while 28.5 percent did not.

On the second question, students were asked if financial reasons contributed to their early departure. As seen in Figure 4, over 50 percent of the responding domestic students left the university because of financial reasons; on the other hand, over 60 percent of the responding international students indicated that financial reasons were not the main factor for international students leaving the university.




Figure 4. Financial Reasons for Departing

On the third question, students were asked if the social and cultural activities were adequate for their needs. As shown in Figure 5, domestic students were satisfied with cultural and social activities within the university. However, international students clearly stated that they were not satisfied with the social and cultural activities within the university.




Figure 5. Cultural and Social Activities

Next, students were asked if the university courses offered were available and flexible enough to fit their needs. As shown in Figure 6, international students found that courses were not available and flexible enough to fit their needs compared to domestic students who reported no problems in that area.




Figure 6. Flexibility and Availability of Courses

The fifth question involved the degree of voice at the university in terms of policies and student government. There was a difference between domestic and international students on this question as shown in Figure 7.




Figure 7. Degree of Voice

The next question asked if the campus provided adequate health and wellness services. Of the respondents, 67 percent of the domestic students replied yes and 8 percent replied no. Of international students, 57 percent replied yes and 42 percent replied no.

Question number seven asked students if they felt they had an adequate variety of food available for them at a reasonable cost. As shown in Figure 8, neither group was happy with the food service at the university.




Figure 8. Food Services

Next, students were asked if they had been given enough information during the orientation program. As shown in Figure 9, there was a difference between the two groups. International students were not satisfied with the orientation process compared to domestic students.




Figure 9. Orientation Satisfaction

Question number nine asked if the learning resources were adequate and available to meet the students' needs. Of the domestic students, 72 percent agreed that resources were adequate. Of the international students, 71 percent agreed. As seen in Figure 10, there was a difference in satisfaction

with the university's dormitory between the two groups. The international dissatisfaction rate was 20 percent higher than the domestic dissatisfaction.




Figure 10. Dormitory Satisfaction

Next, students were asked if they were able to practice their religious beliefs both on and off the campus. Sixty-nine percent of the domestic students answered yes, and 75 percent of the international students answered yes.

Both domestic and international students were asked if they understood the value system of the U.S. higher education. Seventy percent of the domestic students responded yes while 62 percent of the international students responded yes.

Question number 13 asked students if they felt the offices of Personal Counseling, Career Planning, Job Placement, and Academic Advising were available and helpful to them. There was a difference in the degree of satisfaction with services offered from different offices within the university. International students stated they were not getting enough help from these offices whereas domestic students were satisfied with them.




Figure 11. Satisfaction of Services Offered

The following question asked students if they were comfortable dealing with bureaucracy and people in authority at the university. There was a difference between the domestic and international students with very few domestic students responding “no” as compared to almost 50 percent of international students responding “no”.




Figure 12. Authority

The next question involved the accuracy and realism of the information they received before enrolling. Of domestic students responding, 64 percent felt the information was accurate while 57 percent of the international students also felt the same.

Question number 16 asked if they were able to make themselves understood at the university in terms of their culture and language. Of domestic students responding, 73 percent said they were able to, while 76 percent of the international students were able to.

The last question asked if the city of San Antonio was a good setting for their university experience. The domestic students agreed with this statement 72 percent of the time while the international students agreed 81 percent of the time.

Students were asked to provide additional comments about their experiences on campus. For international students, four significant factors were identified: (a) Students feeling alone or homesick on campus in terms of missing their family and not having friends, (b) meeting needs in academic terms of receiving assistance and avoiding bureaucracy, (c) meeting non-academic needs in terms of utilizing the International Student Office and other services, and (d) having some control (voice and participation) in terms of influencing and understanding their environment. For domestic students, the main concern focused on finances in terms of rising tuition costs, losing company educational benefits when terminated or laid off, and not being able to afford the overall costs of the educational program being pursued.

Analysis Results—Third Stage

The third stage was intended to validate or verify the accuracy of the data gathered in all previous stages based on the researcher's interpretation of those surveys and the interviews. Out of 150 previously enrolled students, 6 domestic and 6 international students were randomly chosen and contacted by phone. All interviews were recorded and transcribed.

Transcript Analysis

Step 1: The transcripts were reviewed a minimum of three times in order to obtain all relevant themes and improve comprehension of the interviewee's responses.

Step 2: By identifying common meanings, themes were comprised into two groups—international and domestic.

Step 3: Themes were derived by comparing and contrasting the interviewee's responses with the most common theme labeled as the majority. This theme was analyzed further and developed into one concept and/or experience.

Step 4: The concept and/or experience was titled and placed in the text. The theme was described and supported by the interviewee's statements.

Step 5: The final stage was to articulate the importance of the themes with the content of the replies.

Thematic Analysis for Leaving—Domestic and International

Domestic student themes were:

- Quality and challenge

Quality expectations were not met in terms of the instructional team, the value placed on graduates by employers, and the variety of academic and non-academic programs. Most of the participants stated that they loved the university; however, they decided to leave because their expectations were not being met because they wanted something more challenging and more contemporary. For example, participant # 8 left the university because she did not think the university had an international quality. She said, “You know, I didn’t, I didn’t leave it because I didn’t think it was a good school or because I have had any problems there. It’s not, it’s not national quality. You know, it’s not really an international quality university. You know? It’s just a small, religious school.”

Participant # 9 stated that she wanted to go to a more liberal university that had organizations that were interesting to her and is currently attending a university that meets those needs. She continued saying that she never really heard anything about clubs at her former university. She found the San Antonio to be very conservative in a lot of ways, and that’s not for her. She wanted to move somewhere that had political outlets, other activities and interest groups.

Name reputation and more challenging schools are dreams of most of the domestic students. Nearly every domestic student wants to graduate from

a university that will be recognized by many others, including employers. Also having a good teaching team established by devoted instructors who make the educational environment more challenging is also the dream of many American students.

Some of the students stated that this university was a small religious school and did not have enough diversity in the degree programs.

- Finances

Finance was the second concern among domestic students, which led them to leave the university without completing their degree programs. Participant # 12 transferred to a public university because the individual could no longer afford the private university tuition and said, “I just left. So it was just because I was going to transferring out anyway because of the expenses. It was too expensive.” Participant #7 also left the university because of financial reasons. This person’s tuition had been supported by his former employer but as he said “...that company was paying my tuition and I am no longer with the company, so I don’t, do not, um, have the same support that I used to.”

Besides wanting more challenging or affordable institutions, domestic students’ reasons included: not being ready for college; moving to some other city because of military; not knowing how to manage their time, being dissatisfied with the food quality; institution not of international quality; small religious school, not enough degree and social programs; too conservative, or the amount and variety of activities.

Overall, all the domestic participants agreed on one point, stating that they loved this university, but they had to leave because of reasons not related to dissatisfaction with this university. For example, Participant #10 said “I don’t have anything bad to say about [this university], it’s a wonderful school, and I hope my kids go there.”

International student themes were

- Making friends and interacting with domestic students

International students had difficulty in making domestic friends—they felt that domestic students discriminated against them and as a result international students made friends with other international students. This feeling of discrimination made it more difficult to belong or at least feel a sense of belonging. The social and language barriers were significant in this theme.

Participant #1 stated that domestic students looked at them like they were aliens from Mars; therefore, they kept to themselves or in their own groups of international students.

Participant # 2 said most of his friends were other international students. He also said “Actually my trouble was, when I first came here my English was not good and I had that accent. And then I am doing my presentations and some of them started laughing and....” [SIC]

- Food

Dining and the quality of the food on the campus were the second big issues for international students and were precipitating factors in their leaving the university. More expensive, less diverse, and not as tasty as compared to food off campus was a recurring concern. Also recurring was a lack of sensitivity to religious restrictions and traditions. Compounding this situation was the mandatory meal card plan required for all students, especially international students, living on campus and the hours of operation. Participant number 2 stated that he was bored eating the same thing everyday. Participant number 3 found prices were more expensive on campus than off campus and it was less diverse. Participant number 4 found food less tasty on campus.

- International Student Office

“I think my reason to leave the University was the lack of assistance with the International Students’ Office” (participant #4). This lack of assistance, at times, translated into a feeling of discrimination, similar to the discrimination felt by international students toward domestic students. Furthermore, it resulted in international students avoiding or having minimal contact with this office.

- Academic Assistance

The perception of a lack of dedicated and approachable advisors and administrative staff who could facilitate the attainment of academic needs was

strongly expressed in the third stage. Participant #2 stated that he did have an advisor but could never find him. Participant number 4 elaborated about academic assistance by making the following statement, “I needed more academic assistance, I believe. For international students the new environment is very exhausting, because the international student has to adjust to a new environment, to a new language, to a new country, and a new educational system. And it’s really hard for an international student to adjust all this in a small amount of time. And, actually, we need more assistance than domestic students.” He continued saying, “Actually domestic students have problems with their degree program, so it’s very normal that international students could have more problems. And I believe that for international students they actually need [a] specific person assigned to these students so that anytime these students have any kind of problem, this person can help them. So I think there is a problem with the assistance in academic.” [sic] An even more interesting comment came from participant #5 who said, “What is an academic advisor?”

- Cultural & Social Activities

An inadequate network was indicated, the lack of which caused some international students to become even more isolated. All the international students indicated that they lacked the network of social support that existed for them in their home country, including immediate and extended family, friends, and colleagues. Some international students withdraw into isolation and others group together with fellow nationals. Some choose the company of

other international students where acceptance and understanding may be more easily found than among students of the students of the host country.

- Housing

“Uh, well housing was not the best At the end I finished renting an apartment” (participant #1) stated. Too many restrictions caused dissatisfaction with the housing process. Also frequent and short notice relocations by the housing staff exacerbated the discontent with housing.

- Incorrect information prior to arrival

Incomplete or inaccurate information caused a lack of trust in the recruitment and orientation process.

- Availability of Courses and Flexibility in Scheduling Within Degree Plans

Following the initial orientation, international students found that when registering, the courses were not available and little or no flexibility in the scheduling process was evident. Students from the previous semester had registered earlier and courses were not available. Students expected more, especially from a private university.

- Other Reasons

Social and academic adaptation within a new environment was predominant along with the availability of resources and research opportunities—graduate and undergraduate.

Verification and Informing the Study

In the first stage, identifying differences among the international and domestic student populations in statistical terms, a better understanding was gained about the sample and issues related to retention were discovered. Some issues, like the quality of college services and social adaptation in meeting both academic and non-academic needs provided direction through the second stage where adaptation issues became even more focused on orientation, participation, and integration of both international and domestic students. Academic issues (availability, flexibility, advisory, and other services) along with non-academic issues (finance, campus life, housing/food, orientation, and bureaucracy/authority) became more evident. In the third stage, issues of students receiving the same quality and level of services in their pursuit of challenging higher educational goals were more clearly defined and understood. Also, issues of campus infrastructure and its integration in providing overall services to students evolved.

Summary—Data and Themes

First stage results clearly showed there is a significant difference between current domestic and international students in the areas of importance and degree of satisfaction with the university in general. The second stage was intended to identify perceptual difference between former domestic and international students who did not complete their studies. After preliminary analysis and piloting, the second stage survey identified reasons why domestic and international students discontinued their studies prematurely. The third

stage verified earlier results, thereby confirming the direction of the study, refining issues, and indicating how former domestic and international students differ on various identified themes.

Chapter 5: Discussion, Implications, And Recommendations

This chapter discusses the results reported in Chapter 4. The discussion is organized by the three broad areas identified in the purpose of the study: (a) whether there are differences among domestic and international students in terms of academic (educational) and non-academic (support) needs, (b) how international students acclimate and succeed in satisfying those needs, and (c) why international students are not being retained. Following the discussion, recommendations are made in specific areas and also for further research.

Discussion

The first stage explored the differences between international and domestic students in terms of their opinions about the importance of, and satisfaction with college—services, environment, impression, experiences, and social adaptation. Effects of gender, age, educational level, and residency status were also studied.

In terms of services provided on campus, the most important service was found to be computer support followed by academic advising, library facilities, financial aid, and parking facilities respectively. In regard to *satisfaction* with the services, the most satisfying college service in the campus was found to be the library facilities and, followed by student health/wellness, academic advising, personal counseling, and student employment. By comparison, parking facilities were found to be the least

satisfying service on the campus. According to the results, students were satisfied with the academic advising services and library services which were reported as the most important services in campus. Moreover, students were found to be satisfied with the main support services in campus as personal counseling, student health and wellness services and student employment services. However, a few problematic areas were also found. Although computer services were found to be the most important services in campus, students were not satisfied with this service at all. In addition, parking was found to be a significant problem for the majority of the students.

Regarding campus environment, the most important college factor in the campus was found to be the quality of instruction in the major field. The other important factors were course content in the major field, value of the information provided by the advisor, attitude of the faculty towards student, and availability of the courses at the times that can be taken, respectively. In contrast to the expressed dissatisfaction with some advisor and administrative staff, the respondents express satisfaction with the quality of the instruction. In terms of satisfaction with the campus environment factors, the most satisfying campus environment factor was found to be the class size relative to the type of course, followed by the personal security/safety at the college, attitude of the faculty towards students, classroom facilities, and out-of-class availability of instructors. Academic factors were found to be the most important and the most satisfying college environment factors in the study. In

addition, there was a consistency between the importance of college environment factors and satisfaction.

Significant effects of gender, age, educational level, and residency status on students' opinions were found in the study. Regarding satisfaction with college environment, a significant difference was found between students who were living on campus and those living off campus. According to the results students who were living on campus were more satisfied with the college environment than the students who live off campus. In terms of college experience, female students and undergraduate students were found to have better college experiences. As to college impression, students aged 19 to 22 were found to be more impressed about the college than students aged 23 to 25. Moreover, consistent with the previous finding, undergraduates were found to be more impressed about the college than graduate students.

Moreover, results indicated that there were significant differences in students' social adaptation scores in terms of gender, residency status and educational level. According to the results, male students, graduate students and students who were living on campus were found to have social adaptation difficulties

The study pointed out significant differences between currently enrolled international and domestic students in terms of their opinions about the college experience. Domestic students were found to be more satisfied with the college environment, and have better college experiences and impressions than international students. Moreover, international students were

found to have more difficulty in social adaptation than domestic students. The researcher, also an international student, recognizes some obvious issues for such students. Education in a foreign country is exciting but also can be a stressful and overwhelming experience. Being educated in a different language, trying to adjust to a new culture and environment, and trying to adapt to the social life in the new environment are challenging for many international students. The professional literature referred to earlier states the importance of understanding the adaptation process for international students. As seen in the results, international students experience difficulties regarding college environment, and problems regarding social adaptation. As a result their college experience is not as satisfactory as domestic students. These findings provide important data on what further actions or interventions could be considered to remedy the number of international students discontinuing their studies.

In the second stage of the study, comparison in terms of opinions and adaptation between domestic and international students who left college were found. The differences included the importance of finance, the opportunity to not only participate but be heard in campus activities, and whether needs were being met.

Financial and personal concerns were the main reason for *domestic* students to leave the university, but not for *international* students. Financial concerns, looking for a better or more challenging university, or looking for a less conservative city were found to be significant reason for domestic

students to drop out. For a majority of the international students, the main factor in leaving the university was related to adaptation problems. Students perceived the interaction between students and university to be very weak. Moreover, academic reasons were also influential in international students' decisions to leave.

Validation of the previous findings was accomplished in the last stage using techniques of interviewing and thematic analysis of the students' reasons for leaving the college. Having some form of recognition, being able to express (having voice), and / or participating in the campus environment where this recognition and participation could result in change was a significant retention finding for international students. Research indicated that international students wanted to be part of the university but did not feel their voice was heard or considered important in campus climate.

Both domestic and international students had concerns over food service. They thought it was expensive, lacking variety, and appeal. They did not feel they get what they deserve for the money they paid, especially, in this case since many more economical restaurants and food establishments exist nearby. For that reason, students expressed they are being cheated because they could get the food they want at half the price.

Implications for Retention

The results of the study pointed out significantly similarity between the opinions of currently enrolled international students and those international students who dropped out before graduation. Current

international students were found to be less satisfied with their experiences in college, and less impressed about the college than domestic students. Moreover, international students were found to have more social adaptation difficulties than domestic students. Also significant was the finding that the university was less appealing and less satisfying to graduate students than undergraduate students. These findings taken together could serve to alert the university faculty and staff in advance of possible drop out behavior for future international students. The findings also are similar to those of Obong (1997) and Zhou, Kuh, and Carini (2001) who studied the meeting of academic and non-academic needs of international students and their engagement.

In order to prevent drop out behavior, and improve retention some precautions are crucial. The primary step is the acknowledgement of challenges, especially first time college students face, and the differences between the demands and needs of domestic and international students.

First-year students may need special assistance in adjusting to the demands of the university. Whether they come from high school, community college, or other universities, students encounter new demands and difficulties in academic performance and social adjustment. Students who are new to the United States face additional challenges. When international students come to the United States, they have to deal with many things such as language, accents, food, friends, and so forth. Being an international student can be considered as just like being a new born baby growing up. The whole process of acculturation is just like growing up. Therefore, being in a new culture

without guidance can be a very difficult and sometimes very discouraging situation for international students.

Specific Recommendations

This researcher provides the following recommendation in order to make the best use of the data gathered. Some of the recommendations reflect the researchers international status, which provides an additional insight with the analyzed data.

- Fully integrate the International Student Office (ISO) both functionally and geographically into all aspects of campus and off campus life.
- Integrate international and domestic students using comprehensive orientation programs developed and implemented by the ISO and Campus Life.

When international students arrive to their main destinations in the U.S., international student office is the first place they go on campus as a source of support and guidance. And usually the head of international student office is the first person they meet and interact. The international student office's job is to protect and help international students throughout their educational life in the university. This office is the first port of call for most international students, with or without problems, and should be an office that every international student feels at home and welcome in despite any concerns or difficulties. This office should also be the focal point for international student activities, academic or non-academic, and actively coordinate with

other offices to facilitate and/or resolve problems. Therefore, integrating the International Student Office into campus life is essential. Orientation programs incorporating both international and domestic students should originate from here and expand into international student fellowships, clubs, and other cross-cultural experiences.

International student orientation is crucial to international students' transition and is a significant part of a multi-faceted approach to their retention. A comprehensive international student orientation program strives to integrate the university's mission and the personal growth of entering international students and in addition, help international students adjust to the academic and social environment. International orientation should not only orient students but also prepare students against the issues they may face later on their study life. Orientation should be reiterative—before, during, and after—and include both international and domestic students to facilitate adaptation and meeting non-academic needs.

Developing and implanting an overall orientation program that begins prior to the students' arrival and continues until earning the specified degree should focus on some key issues. First, the International Student Office needs to be co-located more centrally, both administratively and geographically, to the Registrar, Admission, and Student Life.

- Facilitate and insure each student has and retains an academic advisor throughout his/her academic program.

It is essential to assign and keep academic advisors / mentors throughout the students' programs to facilitate and insure the availability of required program courses to meet academic needs, including mandatory meetings and documented records for performance and other concerns. It is also crucial to develop and implement a strong and viable sponsor program where assigned sponsors can provide the personalized attention students need in dealing with the everyday problems they encounter in the college environment.

- Establish and maintain a process whereby international students are recognized in the student government and on campus.

International students are a growing contingent, just entering double digits, but are not represented in the student government. Their concerns differ from domestic students, so by including and allowing international students to participate in student government will make sure that international students have a voice on all student life and academic issues. Student government would then better represent the students at the university, not particular nationalities. Besides, allowing international students to become a part of student government, the international student office can take a more active role in terms of voicing international concerns and issues. This voice, even if it is small, will facilitate and engender integration while still allowing identity of culture.

By appointing at least one international student to the Student Government Association, a sense of belonging for international students could be promoted and possibly increase the degree of satisfaction, especially in terms of overall services, the second largest issue that trouble international students when it comes to the value received for the amount of money they pay. Developing and articulating appropriate and fair policies where international students are either required or allowed to live on or off campus may benefit and promote cross cultural understanding.

- Provide campus housing and food services that reflect the university's commitment to students—international and domestic.

After moving to a new country, experiencing different languages and food, and beginning their academic studies, international students need a place they can call home, one where they can relax, and call their homes. After learning about their new environments and surroundings, international students did not develop a sense of home. The size and services provided at the dormitories were insufficient to promote this sense of home. Students did not have their own bathroom and felt restricted in their own space, compounded by the unfriendliness of staff and support services, resulting in international students wanting to be elsewhere, but not having a choice.

Moreover, basic services as cafeteria and dormitories should appropriately accommodate students' needs. In this regard, adapting living standards that are more amenable to different cultures while still maintaining cleanliness and customer service may aid in further acclimating international

students. In addition, it is essential to improve and tailor menus and availability of food services to acclimate international students into the college environment as well as providing some similar national foods intermittently. Insuring standards met in both cleanliness and customer services may allow the international student to more readily claim the dormitory as a place he or she can call home and possibly reduce feeling homesick or alienated.

Therefore, university once again needs to act as a salesman and compete with surrounding restaurants, especially when a meal plan is mandatory. If the university improves the quality of food with more selection, students will be more satisfied with the university's food service.

- Provide and incorporate user-friendly counseling services—support and social adaptation.

It is essential to incorporate academic and non-academic needs to expand cultural and social activities facilitating student adjustment and sociocultural adaptation. Moreover, as a support system, counseling services should be readily obtainable and available from student life and counseling offices to resolve differences in cultural values that students might have, maintaining contact throughout the academic programs to identify and divert potential problems, and recommend trained cross-cultural practitioners. Most students go through a process of adaptation when they move into a new culture. Many things make this adaptation process very difficult. These difficulties can lead to confusion as well as to other uncomfortable feelings. Along with stress caused by being in a new culture, adjustments to university

life can be difficult for all students. Therefore, the university must have a counseling center which will be available to help students as they face such challenges and the university should emphasize on counseling which is very important for the newcomer students.

- Incorporate diversity training—faculty, staff, and students—that promotes the understanding of needs (academic and non-academic), effective curriculum development and implementation, and the long term accomplishment of strategic goals.

With increasing student diversity, the faculty may find themselves limited in serving and educating and promoting higher education in general. The collective identity of the faculty, defined by Aguirre (2000) as a sub-culture (values, professional goals, and collegiality) may be challenged on what actions should be taken. Diversity does not just happen and planned change must occur to meet diversity challenges and opportunities.

Organizational and individual commitments are part of the mix. These commitments involve participation and leadership at all levels. The expressed readiness to change needs to translate into collaborative actions. (Alger, 2000).

Communicating more effectively with international students may help faculty or staff to understand some of the underlying causes of miscommunication with international students and then to develop techniques and skills to improve communication. Universities must have cross cultural communication workshops which may make sure participants to experience

the feelings and reactions they might encounter when traveling in another country or interacting with people from other cultures. An interactive faculty workshop to look at issues of cross cultural communication and cultural differences in the multi cultural classroom can be effective and help instructors identify potential problem areas, develop strategies for preventing those problems, and create a more cohesive classroom environment.

Diversity training is one of the most effective tools for effecting attitudinal and behavioral change (Springer, 1995; Wentling & Palma-Rivas, 1997); however, diversity training is not isolated to large corporations and profit margins; it is about how people are affected. “A commitment to diversity is a commitment to all employees,” states R. Roosevelt Thomas in *Beyond Race and Gender* (1991, p. 170). Diversity and tolerance programs focus on helping faculty and staffs become allies to students who need extra support, such as international students. Integrating recurring diversity training and cross-cultural workshops for both faculty and staff is essential to understanding cultural differences and diverse learning preferences, and in developing, and implementing curriculums that benefit both international and domestic students. These programs may also develop a capacity to identify and respond to international student concerns and how to further integrate lessons learned into teaching and learning.

In a more general sense, the above recommendations need to be analyzed for incorporation to the overall strategic enrollment plan of the university (Rowley, Lujan, & Dolence, 1997) and the organizational culture of

the university should be used to transition to a more international culture on the campus, one that can be experienced by both international and domestic students (Kezar & Eckel, 2000).

In conclusion, the study provided valuable information in terms of differences between international and domestic students' drop out behaviors. Determining similarities and significant differences between enrolled international and domestic students was crucial in the first stage of the present study. Using this understanding and following up in both the second and third stages with students who discontinued their studies, determined more definitive relationships and differences between why the students left the university. As a significant finding, domestic students' reasons were found to be centered on financial and personal educational goals, whereas international students' reasons centered on having the ability to become a more vital and recognized entity on the campus, including participating or being represented in the student government.

The United States of America is called a melting pot, which is established by melting many cultures together, and has evolved in a society accommodating many cultures; however, it can be improved upon. International students bring a mix of diverse culture to U.S. universities that increase the overall quality of life in the universities and promote international education.

Universities desiring to internationalize their institutions are actively seeking international students, directly through enrollment, sister university

agreements, and the establishment of other campuses in addition to the main campus. Retaining international students is critical to this objective. As universities in the United States plan and execute strategies to recruit more international students, diversity, revenue, and other global education issues must be considered and planned for to retain them along with domestic students.

Also critical to this objective is recognizing the differences and learning how these differences can promote diversity and future benefits. Differences do not mean different treatment between domestic and international students in meeting and exceeding non-academic and academic needs. Equal treatment and the inclusion of international students on the campus and community environment will increase retention and make education a universal and international language.

Recommendations for Further Research

- The present study was conducted in a private university. Especially for domestic students' the main reason for leaving the college was related to financial problems. Therefore, examining the differences between domestic and international students' drop out behaviors in a state university may provide additional information for retention studies.
- Based on what appears to be important to international studies, it would be helpful if some type of assessment device was developed to determine if the needs of the students and parents and the university

matched for success. This is actually just good recruiting, but additional research codifying the process could be helpful.

- Based on the discovered differences between graduates and undergraduates further research is recommended on how to satisfy both the academic and non-academic needs of these two levels of study.

References

- Aguirre, A. (2000a). *Women and minority faculty in the academic workplace: Recruitment, retention, and academic culture*. San Francisco, CA: Jossey-Bass.
- Alger, J. R. (2002). *Coloring between the (legal) lines: Faculty diversity and the law*. Plenary Papers, April 21-23, 2002. Symposium, Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Office of Multicultural and Academic Affairs. Retrieved October 15, 2002 from <http://www.oma.umn.edu/kof/proceedings.html>
- Alger, J. R. et al. (2000). *Does diversity make a difference? Three research studies on diversity in college classrooms*. Washington, D. C.: American Council on Education and American Association of University Professors.
- Altbach, P. & Peterson, P. (Eds.). (1999). *Higher education in the 21st century: Global challenge and national response*. Annapolis Junction, MD: Institute of International Education and Boston College Center for International Higher Education, IIE Research Report Number Twenty-nine.
- Argyris, C., & Schon, D. A. (1996). *Organizational learning II: Theory, method, and practice*. Reading, MA: Addison-Wesley Publishing.
- Arnone, M. (2004). Security at home creates insecurity abroad. *The Chronicle for Higher Education* (50), 27, p. 21. Retrieved March 12, 2004 from: <http://chronicle.com/prm/weekly/v50/i27/27a02101.htm>

- Ballobin, K. (1993). *A cultural diversity plan* (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED356021).
- Barrow, J., Cox, P., Sepick, R. & Spivak, R. (1989). Student needs assessment survey: Do they predict student use of services? *Journal of College Student Development*, 30 (1), 77-82.
- Bogdan, R. C., & Biklen, S. K. (1992). *Qualitative research for education: An introduction to theory and methods*. (2nd ed.). Boston: Allyn and Bacon.
- Boyer, S. P. & Sedlacek, W. E. (1986). *Attitudes and perceptions of incoming international students*. Counseling Center: University of Maryland.
- Britton, S., Chamberlain, T., Davis, C., Easley, D. Grunden, C. & Williams, J. (2003). *Assessing International Student Perceptions*. Indiana University. Retrieved March 21, 2004 from:
<http://www.indiana.edu/~iuspa/Journal/2003/Assessing%20International%20Students.pdf>.
- Cable, John. (1974) Foreign students in the United States. *Improving College and University Teaching* 20, no.1 (Winter, 1974): 40-41.
- Center for the Study of College Student Retention. (2004). Retrieved February 29, 2004 from: http://www.cscsr.org/retention_issues.htm
- Clark, K. (1995). *SELECT: Achieving and rewarding excellence in faculty advising*. (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED382264)

Consortium for Student Retention Data Exchange. 2002. First-year Retention Rates. Retrieved October 24, 2003 from:

www.occe.ou.edu/CSRDE/retnrate2.htm

Craven, A. & Kimmel, J. (2002). Experiential analysis of a multicultural learning: adventure in a Hispanic-serving institution. *Journal of Hispanic Higher Education*, 1 (1), 59-68.

Creswell, J. W. (1994). *Research design: Qualitative & quantitative approaches*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.

Creswell, J. W. (2002). *Educational Research: Planning, conducting, and evaluating quantitative and qualitative research*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Merrill Prentice Hall. Available at:
<http://www.prenhall.com/creswell>

Cummins, T. G., & Worley, C. G. (2001). *Organizational development and change*. (7th ed.). Cincinnati, OH: South-Western Publishing.

David, F. R. (1999). *Strategic management: Concepts and cases*. (7th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Dee, J. R.; & Henkin, A. B. (1999). Challenges to adjustment to college life in the United States: experiences of Korean students. *Journal of International Education*. V. 29 no. 1. Fall 1999, p. 54-70.

- Economic Benefits of International Education to the United States of America—A Statistical Analysis. (2003). Retrieved March 20, 2004 from:
<http://www.nafsa.org/content/PublicPolicy/DataonInternationalEducation/econBenefits.htm>
- Ellison, A., & Simon, B. (1973). Does college make a person healthy and wise? In L. Solmon & P. Taubman (Eds.), *Does college matter?* New York: Academic Press.
- Feuerstein, R. (2001). *The International Center for the Enhancement of Learning Potential*. Retrieved February 29, 2004 from
<http://www.icelp.org/asp/main.asp>
- Furnham, A. & Bochner, S. (1986). *Culture Shock: Psychological reactions to unfamiliar environments*. New York: Routledge.
- Gerdes, H., & Mallinckrodt, B. (1994). Emotional, social, and academic adjustment of college students: A longitudinal study of retention. *Journal of Counseling and Development*, 72, 281-288.
- Gilbert, Stan. (1989). Addressing the needs of English as a second language students. *AACJC Journal*, 22-25.
- Gomez, L. S. (1987). *International students in California community colleges: A study of populations, programs, and possibilities*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, University of Southern California.
- Green, M. & Baer, M. (2001). Global Learning in a New Age. *The Chronicle of Higher Education*. Section: The Chronicle Review Page: B24

Retrieved March 17, 2004 from:

<http://chronicle.com/prm/weekly/v48/i11/11b02401.htm>

Hart, R. H. (1974). *Problems of international students enrolled in Texas public community colleges as perceived by international students and international student advisors*. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED096599)

Hofstede, G. (1997). *Cultures and organizations: Software of the mind*. New York: McGraw-Hill.

Hofstede, G. (2001). *Cultures and consequences: Comparing values, behaviors, institutions, and organizations across nations*. (2nd ed.). Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications

Hofstede's Dimension of Cultural Scales. (2004). Retrieved February 29, 2004 from <http://spectrum.troyst.edu/~vorism/hofstede.htm>

Huntley, H. S. (1993). Adult International Students: Problems of Adjustment (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED355886)

Jacobson, J. (2003). Foreign student-enrollment stagnates. *The Chronicle for Higher Education*. Page A1 Retrieved March 21, 2004 from: <http://chronicle.com/prm/weekly/v50/i11/11a00101.htm>

Johnson, V. (2003). The perils of homeland security. *The Chronicle for Higher Education*. Retrieved April 1, 2004 from: <http://chronicle.com/prm/weekly/v49/i31/31b00701.htm>

- Kezar, A., & Eckel, P. (2002). The effect of institutional culture on change strategies in higher education. *The Journal of Higher Education* 73(4), 435-60.
- Kezar, A., & Eckel, P. (Eds.). (2000). *Moving beyond the gap between research and practice in higher education*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass
- Klineberg, O. & Hull, F. W. (1979). *At a Foreign University: An International Study of Adaptation and Coping*. (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED212196)
- Kottak, C. P. (2002). *Anthropology: The Exploration of Human Diversity*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Lee, M. Y., Abd-Ella, M. & Burks, L.A. (1981). Needs of foreign students from developing nations at U.S. Colleges and universities. Washington, D.C.: NAFSA.
- Liu, E., and Liu, R. (1999). An application of Tinto's models at a commuter campus. *Education*, 119, 537-541.
- Manese J.E., Leong, F.T.L., and Sedlacek, W.E. (1984). Background, attitudes, and needs of undergraduate international students. *College Student Affairs Journal*, NO. 1. 19-28.
- Meyer, J. (2001). A conceptual framework for comprehensive international student orientation programs. *International Education* 31 (1), 56-78.
- Milton, T. J. (1997). Understanding Culture Shock. Foreign Area Officer Association. Available: <http://www.faoa.org/journal/cshckfao.html>

- Molinar, D. (1996). The Impact of Institutional Effectiveness on Student Retention. (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED397721)
- Moss, L. E. (2000). Recruitment, retention, and mentoring of female and minority faculty in higher education. *Dissertation Abstracts International*. (UMI 9991814).
- Nelson, R. (1993). The effect of SLS 1122 and faculty mentors on student performance. (Abstract) (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED414473)
- Nunnally, J. (1978). Psychometric theory. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Obong, E. E. (1997). *Nonacademic needs of international and noninternational college and university students*. New York: Vantage Press.
- Open Doors 2003. (2003). Institute of International Education. Available at <http://www.opendoors.iienetwork.org/>
- Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Annual Report 2001. (2001). *Trends in international migration-Student mobility between and towards OECD Countries*. Retrieved March 17, 2004 from: http://www.oecd.org/LongAbstract/0,2546,en_2649_201185_2507706_1_1_1_1,00.html

- Parr, Gerald, Bradley, Loretta & Bing, Revathi. (1992). Concerns and feelings of international students. *Journal of College Student Development*, 33 (1), 20-25.
- Pascarella E. T. & Terenzini, P. T. (1991). *How college affects students*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Peterson, M.W.& Spencer, M.G. (1990). *Understanding Academic Culture and Climate*. In W.G. Tierney (ed.), *Assessing Academic Climates and Cultures*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass., 3-18.
- Reynaldo, J. & Santos, A. (1999). Cronbach's alpha: A tool for assessing the reliability of scales. *Journal of Extension*. 37.
- Rowley, J., Lujan, H., & Dolence, M. (1997). *Strategic change in colleges and universities: Planning to survive and prosper*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Schein, E. H. (1992). *Organizational culture and leadership*. (2nd ed.). San Francisco: Jossey-Bass.
- Scott, Robert A. (1994). Campus Developments in response to the challenges of Internalization the Case of Ramapo College of New Jersey. *Journal of Higher Education Management*. March 1994, Vol. 6, No. 1 p.71-89.
- Searle, W., & Ward, C. (1990). The prediction of psychological and sociocultural adjustment during cross-cultural transitions. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, 14, 449-464.

- Selvadurai, R. H. (1991). Adequacy of selected services to international students in an urban technical college. *The Urban Review*, 3 (4), 271-285.
- Senge, P. (1999). *The Dance of Change: The Challenges to Sustaining Momentum in Learning Organizations*. New York: Currency Doubleday.
- Senge, P. M. (1990). *The fifth discipline: The art and practice of the learning organization*. New York: Doubleday.
- SPSS 11.5 Brief Guide (2002). Prentice Hall, Inc., Upper Saddle River: NJ.
- Sutton, M., & Levinson, B. (2001). *Policy as practice: Toward a comparative sociocultural analysis of educational policy*. Westport, CT: ALEX Publishing.
- Szelenyi, K. (2001). Minority Student Retention and Academic Achievement in Community Colleges. (Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED451859)
- Tabdili, A. A. (1984). An Evaluation of the Effectiveness of Student Services as Perceived by International Students and Foreign Student Advisers. Unpublished Ed. D. Dissertation, University of San Francisco. DA8424809
- Taylor, S. & Bogdan, R. (1984). *Introduction to qualitative research methods: The search for meanings*. (2nd ed.). New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Thomas, R. R. (1991). *Beyond race and gender: Unleashing the power of your total work force by managing diversity*. New York: AMACOM.

- Tinto, V. (1993). *Leaving College: Rethinking the causes and cures of student attrition*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Trower, C., & Chait, R. P. (2002). *Faculty diversity: Too little for too long*. Plenary Papers, April 21-23, 2002. Symposium, Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Office of Multicultural and Academic Affairs. Retrieved October 15, 2002 from <http://www.oma.umn.edu/kof/proceedings.html>
- U.S. Census Bureau. (2002). *United States Census 2000*. Retrieved November 24, 2000 from www.census.gov/main/www/cen2000.html
- U.S. Department of Education. (2002a). *Digest of education statistics, 2001*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, Publication No. NCES 2002-130.
- U.S. Department of Education. (2002b). *Hispanic Serving Institutions: Statistical trends from 1990 to 1999*. Washington, DC: National Center for Education Statistics, Publication No. NCES 2002-051.
- Ward, C. & Kennedy, A. (1993a). Psychological and socio-cultural adjustment during cross-cultural transitions: a comparison of secondary students overseas and at home. *International Journal of Psychology*, 28 (2), 129-147.
- Ward, C. & Kennedy, A. (1993b) Where's the "culture" in cross-cultural transition? Comparative studies of sojourner adjustment. *Journal of cross-cultural Psychology*, Vol 24, No. 2, June 1993, 221-249.

- Wentling, R. M., & Palma-Rivas, N. (1997). *Diversity in the workforce: A Literature Review* (Diversity in the Workforce Series Report #1 Abstract). (ERIC Document Reproduction Services No. ED414473)
- Yukl, G. (2002). *Leadership in organizations*. (5th ed.). Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Zhao, C., Kuh, G.D., & Carini, R.M. (2002). *A comparison of international student and American student engagement in effective educational practices*. Paper presented at the meeting of the Association for Institutional Research, Toronto, Canada. Retrieved February 1, 2004 from: <http://www.iub.edu/~nsse/html/research.shtml>

SURVEY OF STUDENT OPINIONS

ACT, P.O. Box 168, Iowa City, IA 52243

DIRECTIONS: The information you supply on this questionnaire will be kept completely confidential. However, if any item requests information that you do not wish to provide, please feel free to omit it. Your Social Security number is requested for research purposes only and will not be listed on any report.

Please use a soft (No. 1 or 2) lead pencil to fill in the oval indicating your response. DO NOT use a ball-point pen, nylon-tip or felt-tip pen, fountain pen, marker, or colored pencil. Some items may not be applicable to you or to this college. If this is the case, skip the item or mark the "Does Not Apply" option. If you wish to change your response to an item, erase your first mark completely and then fill in the correct oval. Select only ONE response to each item.

SECTION I—BACKGROUND INFORMATION

Begin by writing your Social Security number in the large boxes at the top of Block A. Then, in the column below each box, fill in the appropriate oval. Complete the remaining blocks by marking the single most appropriate oval in each case.

A SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER (Identification Number) <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> </div>	B AGE <input type="radio"/> 18 or Under <input type="radio"/> 19 <input type="radio"/> 20 <input type="radio"/> 21 <input type="radio"/> 22 <input type="radio"/> 23 to 25 <input type="radio"/> 26 to 29 <input type="radio"/> 30 to 35 <input type="radio"/> 40 to 61 <input type="radio"/> 62 or Over	C RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP <input type="radio"/> African-American or Black <input type="radio"/> Native American (Indian, Alaskan, Hawaiian) <input type="radio"/> Caucasian or White <input type="radio"/> Mexican-American, Mexican Origin <input type="radio"/> Asian American, Oriental, Pacific Islander <input type="radio"/> Puerto Rican, Cuban, Other Latino or Hispanic <input type="radio"/> Other <input type="radio"/> I prefer not to respond.	D SEX <input type="radio"/> Male <input type="radio"/> Female	E Indicate the number of hours per week you are currently employed. <input type="radio"/> 0 or Only Occasional Jobs <input type="radio"/> 1 to 10 <input type="radio"/> 11 to 20 <input type="radio"/> 21 to 30 <input type="radio"/> 31 to 40 <input type="radio"/> Over 40																	
F What is the highest educational attainment of each of your parents or guardians? <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Mother</th> <th>Father</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Some high school or less</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> High school diploma or GED</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Some college/no degree or certificate</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Vocational/technical degree or certificate</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Associate degree</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Bachelor's degree</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Master's degree</td></tr> <tr><td><input type="radio"/></td><td><input type="radio"/> Doctorate/professional degree (PhD, MD, EDD, JD)</td></tr> </tbody> </table>		Mother	Father	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Some high school or less	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> High school diploma or GED	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Some college/no degree or certificate	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Vocational/technical degree or certificate	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Associate degree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Bachelor's degree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Master's degree	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Doctorate/professional degree (PhD, MD, EDD, JD)	G Indicate your class level at this college. <input type="radio"/> Freshman <input type="radio"/> Sophomore <input type="radio"/> Junior <input type="radio"/> Senior <input type="radio"/> Graduate or professional student <input type="radio"/> Special student <input type="radio"/> Other/unclassified <input type="radio"/> Does not apply to this college	H For what purpose did you enter this college? (Select only one.) <input type="radio"/> No definite purpose in mind <input type="radio"/> To take a few job-related courses <input type="radio"/> To take a few courses for self-improvement <input type="radio"/> To take courses necessary for transferring to another college <input type="radio"/> To obtain or maintain a certification <input type="radio"/> To complete a vocational/technical program <input type="radio"/> To obtain an Associate degree <input type="radio"/> To obtain a Bachelor's degree <input type="radio"/> To obtain a Master's degree <input type="radio"/> To obtain a Doctorate or a professional degree
Mother	Father																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Some high school or less																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> High school diploma or GED																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Some college/no degree or certificate																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Vocational/technical degree or certificate																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Associate degree																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Bachelor's degree																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Master's degree																				
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> Doctorate/professional degree (PhD, MD, EDD, JD)																				
I What is your current enrollment status at this college? <input type="radio"/> Full-time student <input type="radio"/> Part-time student	J What type of tuition do you pay at this college? <input type="radio"/> In-state tuition <input type="radio"/> Out-of-state tuition <input type="radio"/> Does not apply to this college	K What is your residence classification at this college? <input type="radio"/> In-state student <input type="radio"/> Out-of-state student <input type="radio"/> International student (not U.S. citizen)	L Which of the following was true for you at the time you first entered this college? <input type="radio"/> Entered directly from high school <input type="radio"/> Entered after working for a period of time (excluding summer only work) <input type="radio"/> Transferred from a 2-year college <input type="radio"/> Transferred from a 4-year college <input type="radio"/> Entered after graduate or professional school <input type="radio"/> Entered after completing military service <input type="radio"/> Other	M Indicate your current college residence. <input type="radio"/> College residence hall <input type="radio"/> Fraternity or sorority house <input type="radio"/> College married student housing <input type="radio"/> Off-campus room/apartment <input type="radio"/> Home of parents or relatives <input type="radio"/> Own home <input type="radio"/> Other																	
N Indicate to what extent each of the following is a source of funding for your postsecondary education? <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> Major Source of Funds Minor Source of Funds Not a Source of Funds </div> <div> <input type="radio"/> 1. Parents/relatives <input type="radio"/> 2. Educational grants (Pell grants, FSEOG, private grants, etc.) <input type="radio"/> 3. Scholarships (private, federal, college, etc.) <input type="radio"/> 4. Student loans (Perkins loan, federal direct loan, etc.) <input type="radio"/> 5. Other loans (bank loans, etc.) <input type="radio"/> 6. Employment while attending college (including work-study) <input type="radio"/> 7. Summer employment <input type="radio"/> 8. Personal savings </div> </div>		Using the List of College Majors and Occupational Choices included with this questionnaire, please select the three-digit codes for your college major and your occupational choice. Write these codes in the boxes at the top of blocks O and P and fill in the appropriate oval in the column below each box. (If you have more than one major, select the one code that best describes your educational program.)		O Indicate your college major. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> </div>	P Indicate your occupational choice. <div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-around;"> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> <div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px;">0</div> </div>																

© 2002 by ACT, Inc. All rights reserved.

IC-060 (BB) (20)

PAGE 1

IM-157070-001:854321

SECTION II—COLLEGE SERVICES

For each service listed below, first indicate its level of importance to you (PART A). If the service is not available or if you have not used it, mark the oval in the first column of PART B and go to the next item. If you have used the service, mark the oval that indicates your satisfaction with it (PART B).

PART A: IMPORTANCE TO YOU					COLLEGE SERVICE OR PROGRAM	PART B: USE & SATISFACTION					
VERY GREAT IMPORTANCE	GREAT IMPORTANCE	MODERATE IMPORTANCE	LITTLE IMPORTANCE	NO IMPORTANCE		HAVE NOT USED/NOT AVAILABLE	VERY SATISFIED	SATISFIED	NEUTRAL	DISSATISFIED	VERY DISSATISFIED
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	1. Academic advising services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	2. Personal counseling services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	3. Career planning services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	4. Job placement services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	5. Recreational and intramural programs and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	6. Library programs and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	7. Student health/wellness services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	8. Student health insurance program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	9. College-sponsored tutorial services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	10. Financial aid services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	11. Student employment services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	12. Residence hall services and programs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	13. Food services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	14. College-sponsored social activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	15. Cultural programs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	16. College orientation program	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	17. Credit-by-examination program (IPEP, CLEP, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	18. Honors programs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	19. Computer support and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	20. Parking facilities and services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	21. Day care services	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

SECTION III—COLLEGE ENVIRONMENT

For each aspect of the college environment listed below, first indicate the importance of it to you (PART A). If that aspect does not apply to you because you have no experience with it or because it is not available, mark the first oval in PART B and go to the next item. If you do have experience with or have used that aspect, mark the oval that indicates your satisfaction with it (PART B).

PART A: IMPORTANCE TO YOU					ASPECTS OF COLLEGE ENVIRONMENT	PART B: EXPERIENCE & SATISFACTION					
VERY GREAT IMPORTANCE	GREAT IMPORTANCE	MODERATE IMPORTANCE	LITTLE IMPORTANCE	NO IMPORTANCE		NO EXPERIENCE/NOT AVAILABLE	VERY SATISFIED	SATISFIED	NEUTRAL	DISSATISFIED	VERY DISSATISFIED
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	1. Testing/grading system	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	2. Course content in your major field	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	3. Quality of instruction in your major field	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	4. Out-of-class availability of your instructors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	5. Attitude of the faculty toward students	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	6. Variety of courses offered at this college	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	7. Class size relative to the type of course	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

SECTION III (Continued)											
PART A: IMPORTANCE TO YOU					PART B: EXPERIENCE & SATISFACTION						
VERY GREAT IMPORTANCE	GREAT IMPORTANCE	MODERATE IMPORTANCE	LITTLE IMPORTANCE	NO IMPORTANCE	ASPECTS OF COLLEGE ENVIRONMENT	NO EXPERIENCE/NOT AVAILABLE	VERY SATISFIED	SATISFIED	NEUTRAL	DISSATISFIED	VERY DISSATISFIED
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	8. Flexibility to design your own program of study	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	9. Availability of your advisor	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	10. Value of the information provided by your advisor	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	11. Preparation you are receiving for your future occupation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	12. General admission procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	13. Availability of financial aid information prior to enrolling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	14. Accuracy of college information you received before enrolling	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	15. Student voice in college policies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	16. Rules governing student conduct at this college	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	17. Residence hall rules and regulations	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	18. Academic probation and suspension policies	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	19. Purposes for which student activity fees are used	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	20. Personal security/safety at this campus	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	21. Classroom facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	22. Laboratory facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	23. Athletic facilities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	24. Study areas	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	25. Student union/community center	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	26. Campus bookstore	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	27. Availability of student housing	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	28. General condition of buildings and grounds	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	29. Computer labs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	30. General registration procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	31. Availability of the courses you want at times you can take them	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	32. Academic calendar for this college (e.g., semester or quarter system)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	33. Billing and fee payment procedures	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	34. Concern for you as an individual	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	35. Availability of computers when you need them	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	36. Attitude of the college nonteaching staff toward students	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	37. Racial harmony at this college	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	38. Opportunities for student employment	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	39. Opportunities for personal involvement in campus activities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	40. Student government	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	41. Religious activities and programs	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	42. Campus media (student newspaper, campus radio, etc.)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	43. This college in general	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

SECTION IV—COLLEGE IMPRESSIONS

A Indicate the extent to which you agree with the following statements about this college.

Strongly Agree
Agree
Neutral (Neither Agree nor Disagree)
Disagree
Strongly Disagree

1. This college has helped me meet the goals I came here to achieve.
2. My experiences here have equipped me to deal with possible career changes.
3. I would recommend this college to others.
4. This college is equally supportive of women and men.

5. My experiences here have helped motivate me to make something of my life.
6. This college is equally supportive of all racial/ethnic groups.
7. I am proud of my accomplishments at this college.
8. This college welcomes and uses feedback from students to improve the college.

B Indicate your rating of this college at the time you applied for admission.

☐ It was my first choice.
☐ It was my second choice.
☐ It was my third choice.
☐ It was my fourth choice or lower.

C If you could start college over, would you choose to attend this college?

☐ Definitely yes
☐ Probably yes
☐ Uncertain
☐ Probably no
☐ Definitely no

D What is your overall impression of the quality of education at this college?

☐ Excellent
☐ Good
☐ Average
☐ Below average
☐ Very inadequate

SECTION V—YOUR EXPERIENCES AT THIS COLLEGE

A How large a contribution do you feel your educational experiences at this college have made to your growth and preparation in each of the following areas?

Very Great	Great	Mod-erate	Little	None	
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Intellectual growth (acquiring knowledge, skills, ideas, concepts, analytical thinking)
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Personal growth (developing self-understanding, self-discipline, and mature attitudes, values, and goals)
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Social growth (understanding others and their views, adapting successfully to a variety of social situations)
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Preparation for further study
<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	Preparation for a career

B Indicate your cumulative college grade average.

<input type="radio"/> A- to A (3.50-4.00)	<input type="radio"/> C- to C (1.50-1.99)
<input type="radio"/> B to A- (3.00-3.49)	<input type="radio"/> D to C- (1.00-1.49)
<input type="radio"/> B- to B (2.50-2.99)	<input type="radio"/> Below D (0.00-0.99)
<input type="radio"/> C to B- (2.00-2.49)	<input type="radio"/> Does Not Apply

SECTION VI—ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

If an additional set of multiple-choice questions is included with this form, please record your responses in this section. Twelve ovals are provided for each question, but few questions require that many choices. Simply ignore the extra ovals. If no additional questions are enclosed, leave this section blank.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30
A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A
B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B
C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D	D
E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G	G
H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H	H
I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I	I
J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J	J
K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K	K
L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L	L

SECTION VII—COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS

If you wish to make any comments or suggestions concerning this college, please write them on the lines below.

DO NOT WRITE BELOW THIS LINE.

SECTION VI---ADDITIONAL QUESTIONS

SECTION VI, PAGE 4

1. Are you an International Student?

A = Yes

B = No

DIRECTIONS: Please respond to each of the following questions in the space provided In Section IV on page 4 of the Student Opinion Survey. Do not mark your answers on this paper. Complete only the questions that apply to you. When you have responded to all items, please discard this sheet; do not return this sheet with your completed survey form.

Please indicate how much difficulty you experience in the United States of America and University of the Incarnate Word

in each of these areas. Use the following A through E scale.

A = no difficulty

B = slight difficulty

C = moderate difficulty

D = great difficulty

E = extreme difficulty

- | | |
|--|---|
| 2. Making friends. | 17. Dealing with people staring at you. |
| 3. Finding food that you enjoy | 18. Communicating with people of a different ethnic group. |
| 4. Following rules and regulations in the U.S. Universities. | 19. Understanding ethnic or cultural differences. |
| 5. Dealing with people in authority. | 20. Dealing with unsatisfactory service at UIW. |
| 6. Taking the U.S. perspective on the culture. | 21. Worshipping. |
| 7. Using the transportation system. | 22. Relating to members of the opposite sex. |
| 8. Dealing with bureaucracy | 23. Finding your way around. |
| 9. Understanding the U.S. value system. | 24. Understanding the American political system. |
| 10. Making yourself understood. | 25. Talking about yourself with others. |
| 11. Seeing things from an American's point of view. | 26. Dealing with the climate. |
| 12. Going shopping. | 27. Understanding the Americans world view. |
| 13. Dealing with someone who is unpleasant. | 28. Family relationships with home country. |
| 14. Understanding jokes and humor. | 29. The pace of life. |
| 15. Accommodation arrangement at UIW. | 30. Being able to see two sides of an inter-cultural issue. |
| 16. Going to social gatherings. | |

Appendix B

Dear Former Student:

The University of the XXX is pleased that you selected and attended our university. I, as an international student, am conducting research on the retention rates of domestic and international students as part of my doctoral candidacy and eventual dissertation.

Knowing your experiences is very important in determining what the university can change or improve to sustain a positive university environment.

I am attaching a questionnaire as a first step in determining your experiences; one which I hope you will complete and return to me. Your responses will remain confidential, will not be released, and will not identify you in any manner.

As with most questionnaires, the information obtained is never as complete as hoped. As a second step, I ask that you provide a contact telephone number and your permission to call you so that I can follow up in determining more specifically your experiences and recommendations.

Your response is important to the university as a whole and to me personally. Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. If you have additional questions or concerns, please contact me at 210/326-2085 or email me at mtas@universe.uiwtx.edu.

Sincerely,

Murat Tas, Ph.D. Candidate
University of the Incarnate Word
San Antonio, TX
e-mail: mtas@universe.uiwtx.edu
phone: (210) 326-2085

APPENDIX C

July 27, 2004

Dear Former XXX Student:

Please allow me to introduce myself. I am a doctoral candidate at the University of the XXX and ask for your participation in my ongoing research and dissertation.

As a former student, learning of your specific experiences at XXX is vitally important and beneficial in improving both the academic and campus environment for current and future students---domestic and international.

Completing the enclosed survey and adding and other information, which you consider pertinent, are very much appreciated. All information is confidential and no names will be released. The results of this research will be shared with the administration of XXX and my dissertation committee at the University of the Incarnate Word. The information request complies with the Fair Information Practices Act.

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. If you have any additional questions or concerns, please call me at (210) 326-2085 or e-mail me at mtas@universe.uiwtx.edu.

Sincerely,

Murat Tas
Ph.D. Candidate
University of the Incarnate Word

APPENDIX D

Murat Tas, Ph.D. Candidate
University of the Incarnate Word

PART-1

DIRECTIONS: Please respond to each of the following questions in the space provided.

DOUBLE CLICK ON YOUR CHOICE AND YOU WILL SEE THE “DEFAULT VALUE” MENU”. CLICK ON “CHECKED” OPTION AND CLICK ON “OK”. THIS WILL AUTOMATICALLY PUT AN “X” ON YOUR CHOICE. AFTER ANSWERING ALL THE QUESTIONS, DON’T FORGET TO SAVE.

Did you personally feel welcome and comfortable at XXX?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Was the reason for leaving XXX a financial reason?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Were the social & cultural activities adequate for your needs?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Were the courses offered at XXX available and flexible enough to fit your needs?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel you had a voice at the university concerning university policies

Yes ☐ No ☐

and student government?

Did the campus provide adequate health & wellness services?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel an adequate variety of food was available at a reasonable cost?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did the orientation program give you the information you needed?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Were the learning resources at XXX (Computers Services, study areas, tutoring) adequate
 Yes ☐ No ☐
 and available to meet your needs?

Did the residence hall and/or dorm areas on campus meet your need and expectations?
 Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you able to practice your religious beliefs both on and off the campus?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel you understood the value system of American higher education?

Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel the offices of Personal Counseling, Career Planning, Job Placement, and Academic Advising were available and helpful? Yes ☐ No ☐

Were you comfortable dealing with XXX bureaucracy and people in authority? Yes ☐ No ☐

Was the information you received before enrolling at XXX reasonably accurate and realistic? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel you were able to make yourself understood at XXX in terms of your culture and language? Yes ☐ No ☐

Did you feel XXX was a good setting for your university experience? Yes ☐ No ☐

PART-2

What do you consider the three most important reasons that affected your decision to leave XXX?

Contact Phone Number to allow me to follow up with you:

APPENDIX E

Interview Consent Form

My name is Murat Tas and I am student in the International Education and Entrepreneurship Ph.D. Program at the University of the Incarnate Word, and Principal Investigator of this project, entitled “Promoting Diversity: Recruitment, Selection, Orientation, and Retention of International Students.”

I would like permission to interview you about your experiences. This interview will take approximately one hour for you to interview. Your signature on this consent form shows that you have been informed about the conditions and safeguards of this project, and agree to participate in the study. With your permission, I would like to tape this interview so I can record the details accurately. The tapes will only be heard by me and my advisors. All information gathered will be kept strictly confidential, and will be stored in a locked file cabinet to which only I will have access. At any time you can refuse to answer any questions or end this interview without any penalty.

There is no risk involved in this study. The benefits of your participation is that increase of retention rate for universities.

I may publish results of the study, but names of people, or any identifying characteristics, will not be used in any of the publications.

If you have any questions about this research, you can call me at (210) 326-2085 or email me at mtas@universe.uiwtx.edu address. If you have questions about your rights as a participant in this study, you can contact the Dean of Graduate Studies and Research at (210) 829-2757.

Thank you for your participation in the study. I will give you a copy of this form to take with you.

If you agree to be interviewed, please sign below:

I agree to have this interview taped please [circle one]: Yes No

Participant's signature Date

Investigator's signature Date